



# A Three Dimensional Kasteleyn Transition: Spin Ice in a [100] Field

Ludovic Jaubert, J.T. Chalker, Peter Holdsworth, R. Moessner

## ► To cite this version:

Ludovic Jaubert, J.T. Chalker, Peter Holdsworth, R. Moessner. A Three Dimensional Kasteleyn Transition: Spin Ice in a [100] Field. 2007. ensl-00176660v1

**HAL Id: ensl-00176660**

**<https://ens-lyon.hal.science/ensl-00176660v1>**

Preprint submitted on 4 Oct 2007 (v1), last revised 3 Mar 2008 (v4)

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# A Three Dimensional Kasteleyn Transition: Spin Ice in a [100] Field

L. D. C. Jaubert,<sup>1</sup> J. T. Chalker,<sup>2</sup> P. C. W. Holdsworth,<sup>1</sup> and R. Moessner<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Laboratoire de Physique, École Normale Supérieure de Lyon,  
CNRS UMR 5672, 46 Allée d'Italie, 69364 Lyon cedex 07, France.*

<sup>2</sup>*Theoretical Physics, Oxford University, 1 Keble Road, Oxford, OX1 3NP, United Kingdom.*

(Dated: October 4, 2007)

We examine the statistical mechanics of spin-ice materials with a magnetic field applied in the [100] direction. We show that the approach to saturated magnetisation is, in the low-temperature limit, an example of a three-dimensional Kasteleyn transition. It is a topological transition in the sense that magnetisation is changed only by excitations that span the entire system. We study the transition analytically and using a Monte Carlo cluster algorithm, and compare our results with recent data from neutron scattering on holmium titanate.

PACS numbers: 75.10.Hk, 75.40.Cx, 75.40.Mg

Topological phases occur in connection with new liquid states and concurrent exotic transitions out of them. A central idea is the emergence of degrees of freedom which cannot be defined or manipulated locally. The presence of such degrees of freedom has profound ramifications for the static and dynamic properties of such phases. They can exhibit algebraic correlations in the absence of criticality [1] and unusually slow relaxation towards thermal equilibrium [2, 3].

A magnetic system whose topological properties have a particularly simple and transparent origin is known as spin ice [4, 5] (Fig. 1): in its macroscopically degenerate ground state, a magnetic version of the ice rules apply. These stipulate that for each tetrahedron of the corner-sharing pyrochlore lattice, two of the four spins point in, and two point out of the tetrahedron along the local body centred diagonal axes. As a result, the lattice divergence of the spin field vanishes everywhere:  $\nabla \cdot \vec{S} = 0$ . As a consequence of this local constraint, the magnetisation of each [100] plane is the same [6]. The magnetisation of planes is therefore a topological quantity which can be changed only by making a simultaneous change throughout the system: the smallest such magnetic excitation involves a set of spins on a string spanning the system. An attractive feature of spin-ice materials is that one can couple directly to this topological quantity using a uniform magnetic field, which lifts the macroscopic degeneracy of the zero-field ground states.

In this paper, we focus on the behaviour near saturation, when the ordered  $q = 0$  state [5, 7] is reached by applying a magnetic field along the [100] direction as illustrated in Fig. 1. In the saturated state at large fields, the ice rules prohibit local fluctuations in the limit of low temperature  $T$ . The resulting phase transition as the field is lowered is an example of a three-dimensional (3d) Kasteleyn transition [8], first proposed in the context of 2d dimer models [9]. Its hallmark is an asymmetric character, appearing to be first-order on one side, and continuous on the other. The Kasteleyn transition has in the past been associated with soft matter: the trans-




FIG. 1: (Color online) *Left*: Pyrochlore lattice showing  $q = 0$  spin structure (black narrow spins) and a string defect (red thick spins). *Right*: Bethe lattice with a central tetrahedron (red) and first layer of nearest neighbour tetrahedra (blue).

gauche disordering transition for polymers embedded in lipid bilayer system, observed via density measurements in dipalmitoyl lecithin (DPL) [10]. A two-dimensional transition has been predicted [11] and some aspects of it observed [12] for spin-ice materials with the field along the [111] crystal axis, but to our knowledge this is the first example of a three-dimensional transition in a magnetic system.

We analyse the transition using a combination of analytical and numerical tools. By mapping the strings onto bosonic worldlines, we obtain an analytical expression for thermodynamic quantities close to the critical point, and for correlation functions at all values of the magnetic field. These predictions are confirmed to high precision by numerical simulation using a Monte Carlo algorithm incorporating a non-local cluster update. In addition, we find that a calculation on a Bethe lattice provides an excellent description of the thermodynamics of the magnet away from the critical point. A crucial characteristic of this transition is that it is symmetry-sustaining, as recognised in Ref. [13]; the first-order liquid-gas transition reported there, however, is an artefact of the local dynamics employed at that time. We compare our results with magnetisation measurements on the spin ice com-

pound  $\text{Ho}_2\text{Ti}_2\text{O}_7$  [14]: they represent well the observed behaviour at large field and temperature, but for lower values the experimental system falls out of equilibrium.

We consider a model for spin ice incorporating nearest-neighbour magnetic interactions only. The Hamiltonian reads

$$H = -J \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} \vec{S}_i \cdot \vec{S}_j - \vec{h} \cdot \sum_i \vec{S}_i, \quad (1)$$

where  $\vec{S}_i$  is a vector of unit length, encoding an Ising spin constrained to lie along the local body centred cubic axes of the tetrahedron;  $J > 0$  is the ferromagnetic exchange constant and  $\vec{h}$  is an external field of strength  $h$  in reduced units, aligned along the [100] direction. This model is a simplification in that the real Hamiltonian is known to incorporate dipolar interactions of at least equal importance [15, 16]. However, it has been demonstrated that a nearest-neighbour description is adequate *as long as the ice rules are not violated*. Our results should therefore be robust for  $T \ll J$ , whereas corrections may become important at higher  $T$ .

Useful insights into the Kasteleyn transition come from discussing in detail the string excitations introduced above. A more precise prescription for constructing them is as follows: start from the  $q = 0$  state, flip spins in successive [100] layers to generate another configuration, and link flipped spins in adjacent layers with segments of the strings. This mapping between spin and string configurations is one-to-one provided we adopt a fixed convention for the paths followed by strings through a tetrahedron with more than two flipped spins. Strings of finite length do not occur in ice-rule states as their ends cost exchange energy  $\mathcal{O}(J)$ . In contrast, strings that span the system cost only Zeeman energy, of  $2h/\sqrt{3}$  per segment. An isolated string also has an entropy, of  $\ln 2$  per segment, and a finite density of strings can account for the macroscopic ground state entropy of spin ice. The total free energy for a single spanning string of  $L$  segments is

$$G = L \left( 2h/\sqrt{3} - T \ln 2 \right). \quad (2)$$

From this one obtains the *exact* value of the Kasteleyn transition temperature,  $T_K = 2h/\sqrt{3} \ln 2$ , and for  $h, T \ll J$ , behaviour depends only on the ratio  $T/T_K$ . For  $T < T_K$  the equilibrium phase is the  $q = 0$  state without any thermal fluctuations, while for  $T > T_K$  strings are present. Depending on their interactions, different scenarios are possible for the variation of their density, and hence sample magnetisation, with  $T/T_K$ . If strings attract, their density has a jump at the transition. At finite string density, if they form a commensurate crystal, there is a magnetisation plateau; and if they form a liquid, their magnetisation varies smoothly. In fact, by construction, strings have hard core repulsion, and the simulations we report below show only a liquid phase.

By thinking of the strings as world lines for bosons moving in two dimensions at zero temperature, with the [100] direction as imaginary time, we can obtain thermodynamic behaviour near the critical point. Under this mapping, the reduced temperature difference  $t \equiv (T/T_K - 1)$  is proportional to the chemical potential for bosons, the deviation of the magnetisation  $M$  per site from its saturation value  $M_{\text{sat}}$  is proportional to boson density, and the spin-ice free energy is proportional to the boson ground-state energy. The Kasteleyn transition is between a vacuum state and a Bose condensate as the chemical potential changes sign. It is at its upper critical dimension in our  $(2+1)$ -dimensional system, since in the quantum description the dynamical critical exponent takes the value  $z=2$ , a reflection of the fact that the [100] field renders the classical model highly anisotropic. Transcription of established results for the boson problem [17] gives for  $t > 0$

$$M = M_{\text{sat}} [1 - at - bt \ln(1/t)], \quad (3)$$

where  $a$  and  $b$  are constants. It follows from this that the heat capacity  $C_h$  and the differential susceptibility  $\chi$ , related by  $C_h = h^2 \chi / T$ , diverge logarithmically in  $t$  as the critical point is approached from the high-temperature side. Similar conclusions for a dimer model were reached using arguments based on the interaction between two strings [8, 18].

Correlation functions are of great interest throughout the partially magnetised phase, and at  $h = 0$  are known to have an algebraic dipolar form that is a consequence of the ice rules [19]. The bosonic description of the system that we have introduced provides both a new way of viewing this result and also a means of finding how it changes with  $h$ . For a complete treatment, it is necessary to take into account the distinction between the four sites in the basis of the pyrochlore lattice when constructing the mapping between spins and strings. We defer these details to a future publication and present here a simplified calculation, in which bosons move on a square lattice and the imaginary time direction is approximated as continuous. We start from a boson Hamiltonian written in terms of spin  $S = 1/2$  operators as

$$\mathcal{H} = -\mathcal{J} \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} (\mathcal{S}_i^x \mathcal{S}_j^x + \mathcal{S}_i^y \mathcal{S}_j^y) - \mathcal{B} \sum_i \mathcal{S}_i^z, \quad (4)$$

where  $\mathcal{J}$  is an effective coupling constant and  $\mathcal{B} \propto h$ . In this incarnation, the Kasteleyn transition is a quantum phase transition in which the two-dimensional XY ferromagnet is fully polarized by a field  $\mathcal{B}$  coupled to the  $z$ -component of magnetisation, at a critical field strength  $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}_c$ . Moreover,  $M$  for spin ice is proportional to  $\langle \mathcal{S}_i^z \rangle$  for the quantum magnet. We use a large  $S$  approximation to evaluate the connected correlation function, defined for spin ice as

$$C(\mathbf{r}, z) = \langle \vec{S}_i \cdot \vec{S}_j \rangle - \langle \vec{S}_i \rangle \cdot \langle \vec{S}_j \rangle, \quad (5)$$




FIG. 2: (Color online)  $M$  vs.  $T/T_K$  obtained from simulations for the pyrochlore lattice (dots) and analytically on the Bethe lattice (solid lines) for  $h/J = 10^{-3}$  (●), 0.13 (▲) and 0.58 (■).

where the separation between lattice sites  $i$  and  $j$  is  $\mathbf{r}$  within the (100) plane and  $z$  along the [100] direction. Since we have treated the lattice structure in a simplified way, our results apply only to intra-sublattice correlations. Writing  $\mathcal{B}/\mathcal{B}_c = \cos\theta$ , we find for large  $r$  and  $z$

$$C(\mathbf{r}, z) = \frac{S \sin\theta}{8\pi} \frac{3[z \sin\theta]^2 - (r^2 + [z \sin\theta]^2)}{(r^2 + [z \sin\theta]^2)^{5/2}}. \quad (6)$$

For  $\mathcal{B} = 0$  the form of this expression reduces to that of Ref. [19] and has the cubic symmetry of the zero field problem. For finite field this symmetry is broken and distances in the  $z$  direction acquire a scale factor  $1/\sqrt{1 - (\mathcal{B}/\mathcal{B}_c)^2}$ . Close to the Kasteleyn transition, there is a second regime of behaviour, which also follows from Eq. (4) but is not displayed in Eq. (6). It arises because correlations at distances shorter than the string separation are controlled by the behaviour of an isolated string, and hence reduce to the correlations along a random walk in two dimensions, giving for example,  $C(\mathbf{0}, z) \sim z^{-1}$ .

We have investigated the transition via Monte Carlo (MC) simulations, using a cluster algorithm [20, 21, 22]. This allows us to avoid the apparent first-order discontinuities [13] that arise from loss of ergodicity with single spin flip dynamics for  $T \ll J$ . Each MC move consists of the reversal of all spins on a string, which in the limit  $T/J \rightarrow 0$  and with periodic boundary conditions must close on itself. The algorithm is efficient because in this limit all attempted flips are accepted below saturation. A typical simulation involves  $4 \times 10^6$  spins, studied for  $10^6$  MC steps at each temperature. Monte Carlo data for magnetisation (Fig. 2), differential susceptibility and heat capacity (Fig. 3) show conclusively a 3d Kasteleyn transition in the limit  $h \ll J$ . For  $T < T_K$ , the system is completely frozen, with  $M = M_{\text{sat}}$  and  $C_h = \chi = 0$ , while for  $T > T_K$ ,  $M$  varies continuously with  $T/T_K$ . The behaviour of  $C_h$  and  $\chi$  as  $T$  approaches  $T_K$  is consistent




FIG. 3: Specific heat  $C_h$  and susceptibility  $\chi$  as a function of  $t \equiv (T/T_K - 1)$  obtained from simulations (●) and analytically (solid lines) for  $h = 10^{-3}J$ . The dashed line is a fit to a logarithmic singularity derived from Eq. (3).




FIG. 4: (Color online) Finite-size scaling of spin correlations for  $h/h_c = 0.33$  (■), 0.66 (▲) and 0.93 (●), on log-log scale. Lines have slopes 3 and 1.

with the logarithmic singularity expected from Eq. (3). Away from the limit  $h \ll J$ , there is no sharp transition: instead  $M$  varies smoothly with  $T$ .

Data for the correlation function, illustrated in Fig. 4, show the behaviour expected from Eq. (6). At the maximum separation along the [100] direction in a system of linear size  $L$  ( $r=0$  and  $z=L/2$ ) correlations decrease with increasing  $L$  as  $L^{-3}$ , and increase in amplitude with  $h/h_c$ . Close to the transition, a slower decrease is observed at short distances, consistent with the  $L^{-1}$  law expected for isolated strings.

We supplement our simulations with analytical calculations for a related model: a Bethe lattice (BL) of tetrahedra, whose central element is illustrated in Fig. 1. Here, each tetrahedron is connected to four others, as on the pyrochlore lattice. Crucially, however, there are no closed loops of tetrahedra [23], and in consequence, the statistical mechanics can be solved exactly. As for the pyrochlore lattice, there is a Kasteleyn transition at  $T_K$ .




FIG. 5:  $M$  vs  $B$  obtained analytically (solid lines) and experimentally (points) by neutron scattering on the compound  $\text{Ho}_2\text{Ti}_2\text{O}_7$  at  $T = 1.2\text{K}$ ; data from Ref. [14].

Analytical results for the BL are very close to the simulation data for the pyrochlore lattice – in the absence of adjustable parameters (Fig. 2). Small differences are apparent only for  $h \ll J$  and  $T \approx T_K$ : these arise because the transition on the BL is necessarily mean field, and so does not have the logarithmic contributions to critical behaviour of Eq. (3).

What about the experimental situation? It has previously been suggested that a three dimensional Kasteleyn transition occurs in certain soft matter systems [10] manifesting the characteristic asymmetry displayed by the magnetisation in Fig. 2. Here we propose that spin ice materials provide a new opportunity for their study. [100] field scans have been performed at fixed low temperature in holmium titanate [12, 14]. The magnetisation flattens off abruptly at the saturation value,  $M_{\text{exp}} = 10\mu_B/\sqrt{3}$  in a way reminiscent of the Kasteleyn transition. For temperatures well below the scale set by the exchange constant, data indicate that the system is out of equilibrium, as expected if the actual dynamics is local.

We therefore make a comparison at a temperature comparable with the exchange energy. At such temperatures the behaviour of the pyrochlore lattice and BL are indistinguishable. We show in Fig. 5 data for  $\text{Ho}_2\text{Ti}_2\text{O}_7$  at  $T = 1.2\text{K}$  (Ref. [14]) together with our BL results. This temperature is about 2/3 of the effective nearest neighbour exchange constant  $J_{\text{eff}}S^2/k_B \sim 1.8\text{K}$  estimated for  $\text{Ho}_2\text{Ti}_2\text{O}_7$  [16]. Demagnetisation effects were not accounted for in the experiment. We therefore adjust the field scale to obtain the best fit with the data. The resulting scale factor is 4.1, about 70% of which is accounted for by a simple application of Clausius-Mossotti theory. In summary, we believe that the data of Ref. [14] provides evidence for a rounded Kasteleyn transition in  $\text{Ho}_2\text{Ti}_2\text{O}_7$ , which could be more closely approached in

future experiments.

It is a pleasure to thank S. T. Bramwell, C. Castellano, T. Fennell and M. J. Harris for useful discussions. We acknowledge financial support from the European Science Foundation PESC/RNP/HFM (PCWH and LJ), from the Royal Society and the London Centre for Nanotechnology (PCWH), from ANR grant 05-BLAN-0105 (LJ), and from EPSRC Grant No. GR/83712/01 (JTC). LJ and PCWH thank the Rudolph Peierls Centre for Theoretical Physics, University of Oxford, where this work was completed.

- 
- [1] R. W. Youngblood and J. D. Axe, Phys. Rev. B **23**, 232 (1981).
  - [2] C. L. Henley, J. Stat Phys. **89**, 483 (1997).
  - [3] B. Chakraborty, D. Das, and J. Kondev, Eur. Phys. J. E **9**, 227 (2002).
  - [4] P. W. Anderson, Phys. Rev. **102**, 1008 (1956).
  - [5] M. J. Harris, S. T. Bramwell, D. F. McMorrow, T. Zeiske, and K. W. Godfrey, Phys. Rev. Lett. **79**, 2554 (1997).
  - [6] R. Moessner and J. T. Chalker, Phys. Rev. B **58**, 12049 (1998).
  - [7] A. P. Ramirez, A. Hayashi, R. J. Cava, R. Siddharthan, and B. S. Shastry, Nature **399**, 333 (1999).
  - [8] S. M. Bhattacharjee, J. F. Nagle, D. A. Huse, and M. E. Fisher, J. Stat. Phys. **32**, 361 (1983).
  - [9] P. W. Kasteleyn, J. Math. Phys. **4**, 287 (1963).
  - [10] J. F. Nagle, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA **70**, 3443 (1973).
  - [11] R. Moessner and S. L. Sondhi, Phys. Rev. B **68**, 064411 (2003).
  - [12] T. Fennell, S. T. Bramwell, D. F. McMorrow, P. Manuel, and A. Wildes, private communication (2007).
  - [13] M. J. Harris, S. T. Bramwell, P. C. W. Holdsworth, and J. D. M. Champion, Phys. Rev. Lett. **81**, 4496 (1998).
  - [14] T. Fennell, O. A. Pentrenko, B. Fak, J. S. Gardner, S. T. Bramwell, and B. Ouladiat, Phys. Rev. B **72**, 224411 (2005).
  - [15] R. Siddharthan *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **83**, 1854 (1999).
  - [16] B. C. den Hertog and M. J. P. Gingras, Phys. Rev. Lett. **84**, 3430 (2000).
  - [17] D. S. Fisher and P. C. Hohenberg, Phys. Rev. B **37**, 4936 (1988).
  - [18] J. F. Nagle, C. S. O. Yokoi, and S. M. Bhattacharjee, *Phase Transitions and Critical Phenomena*, Ed. C. Domb and J. L. Lebowitz (Academic Press, 1989), chap. 2.
  - [19] S. V. Isakov, K. Gregor, R. Moessner, and S. L. Sondhi, Phys. Rev. Lett. **93**, 167204 (2004).
  - [20] G. T. Barkema and M. E. J. Newman, Phys. Rev. E **57**, 1155 (1998).
  - [21] R. G. Melko, B. C. den Hertog, and M. J. P. Gingras, Phys. Rev. Lett. **87**, 067203 (2001).
  - [22] S. V. Isakov, K. Gregor, R. Moessner, and S. L. Sondhi, Phys. Rev. B **70**, 104418 (2004).
  - [23] P. Chandra and B. Doucot, J. Phys. A **27**, L541 (1994).