



HAL
open science

Modelling DNA at the mesoscale: a challenge for nonlinear science?

Michel Peyrard, Santiago Cuesta-Lopez, Guillaume James

► **To cite this version:**

Michel Peyrard, Santiago Cuesta-Lopez, Guillaume James. Modelling DNA at the mesoscale: a challenge for nonlinear science?. *Nonlinearity*, 2008, 6 (21), pp.T91-T100. 10.1088/0951-7715/21/6/T02 . ensl-00309257

HAL Id: ensl-00309257

<https://ens-lyon.hal.science/ensl-00309257>

Submitted on 6 Aug 2008

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Modelling DNA at the mesoscale: a challenge for nonlinear science?

Michel Peyrard¹, Santiago Cuesta-López¹, Guillaume James²

¹ Université de Lyon, Ecole Normale Supérieure de Lyon, Laboratoire de Physique CNRS, 46 allée d'Italie, 69364 Lyon Cedex 07, France

E-mail: Michel.Peyrard@ens-lyon.fr

² Institut de Mathématiques de Toulouse, UMR 5219, Département de Mathématiques, INSA de Toulouse, 135 avenue de Rangueil, 31077 Toulouse Cedex 4, France

Abstract. When it is viewed at the scale of a base pair, DNA appears as a nonlinear lattice. Modelling its properties is a fascinating goal. The detailed experiments that can be performed on this system impose constraints on the models and can be used as a guide to improve them. There are nevertheless many open problems, particularly to describe DNA at the scale of a few tens of base pairs, which is relevant for many biological phenomena.

1. Introduction

DNA is the molecule that encodes the information that organisms need to live and reproduce themselves. Fifty years after the discovery of its double helix structure [1] it is still fascinating physicists, as well as biologists, who try to unveil its remarkable properties. But the *structure* of the molecule is only a static picture and it is now understood that the *dynamics* of biological molecules is also essential for their function. This is particularly true for DNA. The genetic code, defined by the sequence of bases pairs which form the plateaus of the double helix (Fig. 1) is hidden in the core of the helix. When a gene is transcribed the hydrogen bonds that link the two bases in a base pair are broken in a region called the “transcription bubble” and the bases are exposed outside of the helix for chemical reaction. This is possible because those bonds that hold the bases together are weak. As a result, even in the absence of enzymes involved in the reading or duplication of the code, DNA undergoes large amplitude fluctuations. The lifetime of a base pair, i.e. the time during which it stays closed, is only of the order of a few milliseconds. Experiments show that these fluctuations, known by biologists as the “breathing of DNA”, are highly localized, and may open a single base pair while the adjacent ones stay closed.

Therefore, when it is viewed at the scale of a base pair, DNA appears as a rather regular lattice, which undergoes very large amplitude motions so that the nonlinear properties of the bonds that connect its elements cannot be ignored. Here we show that DNA is an attractive system for nonlinear science because its properties can be probed very accurately by experiments that combine the methods of physics with biological tools. The experimental results impose constraints on the theoretical modelling and




Figure 1. The structure of DNA in its B form in a full atomic representation (left) or in a schematic diagram showing the covalent interatomic bonds (right). The hydrogen bonds connecting the bases in pairs are not shown.

therefore help us in the design of an appropriate model. Although significant progress have been made since the first attempts to describe DNA with nonlinear models [2], there are however many points that stay open and raise interesting questions for nonlinear physics.

2. A simple nonlinear-lattice model of DNA

DNA has attracted the interest of physicists for a long time. One intriguing theoretical question is its “melting”, i.e. the thermal separation of the two strands, which is a phase transition in a one-dimensional system [3, 4]. As long as one is only concerned by the open or closed state of a base pair, an Ising model in which the pair is a two-state system is sufficient. To study the nonlinear dynamics and fluctuations of the molecule, it is necessary to go beyond this oversimplified view. The next step is to keep a single degree of freedom per base pair, but use a real number, representing the stretching of the distance between the two bases instead of an integer taking only the values 0 or 1. This choice may seem extremely reductive and raises the question of what we mean by “modelling” a physical system, or, in other words the kind of question that we are asking. This picture won’t tell us anything about the actual motion of the bases in space, but it will nevertheless help us to understand the role of entropy versus energy in the melting of DNA, or the origin of the localisation that can lead to the large amplitude local fluctuations occurring in its “breathing”. However, even if we select a very simple description of the molecule, the model cannot be chosen arbitrarily. As discussed below, the possibility to obtain detailed experimental data for DNA introduces constraints on the model.

The Hamiltonian of the simplest model at the scale of a base pair [5], originally introduced to study the thermal denaturation of DNA, is

$$H = \sum_n \frac{p_n^2}{2m} + W(y_n, y_{n-1}) + V(y_n), \quad \text{with } p_n = m \frac{dy_n}{dt}, \quad (1)$$

where n is the index of a base pair, y_n its stretching, and m its reduced mass. This

model (Fig. 2) does not attempt to describe the actual geometry of the molecule and its Hamiltonian is simply chosen to include the main energetic contributions, the kinetic energy and the two dominant interaction energies. The potential $V(y)$ describes the




Figure 2. The simple dynamical model for DNA nonlinear dynamics, described by Hamiltonian (1). The right panel shows the Morse potential (full line) and the potential defined by Eq. 5 (dotted line). The parameters of the two potentials are chosen such that the models using these potentials give the same denaturation temperature.

interaction between the two bases in a pair. The model of DNA melting [5] uses a Morse potential

$$V(y) = D (e^{-\alpha y} - 1)^2, \quad (2)$$

where D is the dissociation energy of the pair and α a parameter, homogeneous to the inverse of a length, which sets the spatial scale of the potential. This expression has been chosen because it is a standard expression for chemical bonds and, moreover, it has the *appropriate qualitative shape*: (i) it includes a strong repulsive part for $y < 0$, corresponding to the steric hindrance between bases in a pair, (ii) it has a minimum at the equilibrium position $y = 0$, (iii) it becomes flat for large y , giving a force between the bases that tends to vanish, as expected when the bases are very far apart; this feature allows a complete dissociation of the base pair, which would be forbidden if we had chosen a simple harmonic potential. The potential $W(y_n, y_{n-1})$ describes the interaction between adjacent bases along the DNA molecule. It includes several contributions such as the overlap of the π -electron orbitals of the organic rings that make up the bases and the coupling through the sugar-phosphate backbone of the DNA strands. The simplest model is obtained by assuming a harmonic coupling

$$W(y_n, y_{n-1}) = \frac{1}{2} K (y_n - y_{n-1})^2. \quad (3)$$

In its simplest form the model does not include the genetic code. All base pairs are considered to be the same so that the results that we discuss in this section are only valid for homopolymers.

The interest of this model is that it can be studied analytically or with simple numerical calculations [5, 6], and that it shows properties which are in qualitative agreement with the observations made on DNA. A statistical physics analysis shows that it does have a one-dimensional phase transition, corresponding to DNA melting because the mean value of the base pair stretching $\langle y \rangle$ diverges at a finite temperature T_c . The fraction of the base pairs that stay bound at a given temperature, defined as the base pairs having an average stretching below a threshold y_0 , decreases smoothly

from 1 at low temperature to 0 at T_c as shown on Fig. 3. Moreover numerical simulations of the model in contact with a thermal bath show the presence of large amplitude, localised fluctuations of the stretching, which are strongly reminiscent of the experimentally observed “breathing” of DNA. These motions can be understood in terms of the localised modes, or discrete breathers, that we expect in such a lattice from nonlinear theory [7]. Therefore it seems that a simple nonlinear model is exceptionally




Figure 3. a) Theoretical result for the fraction of closed base pairs versus temperature (probability $P(y < y_0)$) for a model with harmonic coupling (triangles) or nonlinear coupling (diamonds). Inset: variation of the entropy versus temperature for the two models. b) Denaturation curve of an homopolymer of DNA having only $G - C$ base pairs. (Figure adapted from Ref. [9])

successful in reproducing the main observations associated to DNA fluctuations and thermal denaturation [8]. Moreover the analysis of the model gives us some insight on the origin of these observations, for instance by connecting breathing to nonlinear localisation.

3. Experiments guide model improvements

However the theoretician has to become more modest when he makes a quantitative comparison with experiments. For DNA this test is demanding because experiments can provide numerous and precise data by combining methods from physics and biology.

First the thermal denaturation of the molecule can be easily monitored because, when the base pairs are broken, the UV absorption of a DNA solution increases sharply. Moreover, as DNA can be synthesised it is possible to study homopolymers, as described by the model above. Figure 3-b shows a typical result which indicates that the melting transition is very sharp. On the contrary the theoretical analysis finds a denaturation which extends over a broad temperature range $0.6T_c \lesssim T \lesssim T_c$. Choosing appropriate model parameters can match the theoretical value of T_c with the experimental melting temperature, but this is not sufficient to ensure the validity of the simple model, which has to be corrected.

This can be done by improving the description of the stacking interaction. The harmonic expression (3) resulted from an expansion of the interaction potential around

its minimum. But, as previously mentioned, in DNA one base pair can be open while its neighbors are not, which implies large values of the difference ($y_n - y_{n-1}$). A small amplitude expansion is too rough. To derive a more appropriate potential one has to think at its physical origin. A large contribution to the stacking interaction comes from the overlap of the π electrons of the base plateaus. When a base moves out of the stack, the overlap decreases and its interaction with the neighboring bases weakens. The redistribution of the π electron which occurs when hydrogen bonds are broken also contributes to this effect. This specificity of the stacking interaction can be described by an improved potential

$$W(y_n, y_{n-1}) = \frac{1}{2}K \left(1 + \rho e^{-\alpha(y_n + y_{n-1})}\right) (y_n - y_{n-1})^2. \quad (4)$$

The *plus* sign in the exponential term is important. As soon as either one of the two interacting base pairs is open (an not necessarily both simultaneously) the effective coupling constant drops from $K' \approx K(1 + \rho)$ down to $K' = K$. This fairly simple change in the model brings a very big quantitative improvement because the transition can become almost infinitely sharp if the parameters are properly chosen [10] (Fig. 3). The role of the nonlinear stacking to modify the character of the transition can be understood from simple qualitative arguments. Above denaturation, the stacking gets weaker, reducing the rigidity of the DNA strands, i.e. increasing their entropy. Although there is a energetic cost to open the base pairs, if the entropy gain is large enough, the opening may bring a gain in free energy $F = U - TS$, where U is the internal energy of the system and S its entropy. The nonlinear stacking leads to a kind of “self-amplification process” in the transition: when the transition proceeds, the extra flexibility of the strands makes it easier, which sustains the denaturation that becomes very sharp. This points out the importance of entropic effects in the thermal denaturation of DNA, as noticed also when a more macroscopic analysis of the transition is performed [11].

But experiments can do much more than observing the average number of broken base pairs versus temperature. The breathing of DNA can be studied accurately using proton-deuterium exchange. If DNA is dissolved into deuterated water, when the opening of a base pair exposes the protons that form the hydrogen bonds to the solvent, the so-called imino protons, those protons can be exchanged with deuterium from the water molecules of the solvent. The exchange rate can be accelerated by a catalyst. Then NMR can be used to detect the deuterium atoms within the DNA molecule [12]. Kinetic experiments show that the lifetime of base pairs (time during which they stay closed) is in the range of milliseconds at 35°C and 10 times more at 0°C. The lifetime of the open state is in the nanosecond range (estimations are in the range 30 to 300 nanoseconds) [13]. At biological temperatures the experiments show that *single base pair opening events are the only mode of base pair disruption*. This indicates that the large amplitude conformational change that is able to break a pair and expose a base to the solvent is a *highly localised phenomenon*. It should also be pointed out that these localised fluctuations are observed in artificial molecules which contain homogeneous sequences of identical base pairs. Therefore the localisation cannot be attributed to the inhomogeneity of natural DNA sequences. This supports the analysis suggested by the model, which attributes this localisation to nonlinearity. However this result points out another major weakness the model. Within this model, the opening appears as a breathing mode so that a base pair opens and closes with a period of the order of the slowest vibrational motions of DNA, i.e. of the order of a

picosecond, which is far below the observed time scales, although the breather itself can have a lifetime of hundreds of picoseconds.

Ideas to solve this discrepancy come from all-atom molecular dynamics (MD) simulations [14]. As opening is a rare event, which may extend over hundreds of nanoseconds, MD simulations which have to study a very large number of degrees of freedom, including those of the solvent, cannot study the lifetime of the open state. But these simulations can be biased to observe the free energy pathway associated with opening. This is done by adding a geometrical restraint imposed by a bias potential that imposes a given opening. Then the fluctuations of the DNA structure are recorded for all intermediate positions. Their probability distribution, corrected from the effect of the bias, gives the free energy as a function of the opening [14]. The results are highly sensitive to the details of the solvent and counter ions dynamics, but they nevertheless show that the free energy of the open state may have a shallow minimum. This is consistent with a dynamics in which the base pair may stay open for a long time rather than vibrating as a breather. Moreover the simulations show that open bases can fluctuate a lot. Their motions include rotations, which may hinder the reclosing of the pairs, inducing an energetic barrier for closing. In a mesoscopic model which only describes a subset of the degrees of freedom of the system, the potentials are actually free energies that take into account all the degrees of freedom which are not included in the model. Therefore it is natural to replace the simple Morse potential by a more elaborate function which describes the effects discussed above, and particularly the barrier for reclosing. To allow analytical calculations we have chosen the following expression [15]

$$V_h(y) = \begin{cases} A[e^{-\alpha y} - 1]^2 & \text{if } y < 0, \\ ay^2 + by^3 + cy^4 & \text{if } 0 \leq y \leq 1, \\ D + Ee^{-\beta y} \left(y + \frac{1}{\beta} \right) & \text{if } y > 1, \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

which is determined when the parameters D , E , α , β are selected. The other parameters are calculated to ensure the continuity of the potential and of its first and second derivatives. For $y < 0$ this potential is identical to a Morse potential, while for large values of y it decreases towards D after a hump which describes the barrier for reclosing. The polynomial form for the intermediate range of y provides a smooth matching between the two domains. This new model brings the qualitative improvement needed to reconcile the theory and the experiments because the dynamics of the model in contact with a thermal bath is drastically modified, as shown in Fig. 4. For the Morse potential, with a set of parameters realistic for DNA, the average life time of an open base pair is only 0.08 ns, while it increases to 7 ns when we use the new potential, bringing it in the range measured in experiments [13]. Moreover the open states concern only one or two consecutive bases, in agreement with the observations [12] while the Morse potential was giving larger bubbles, even well below the melting transition. The average lifetime of a closed base pair is found to be 0.4 ns for the Morse potential whereas we get 0.4 μ s when we use the new model. This value is still well below the experimental estimates of a few ms [12], but the new potential nevertheless improves the results by three orders of magnitude.

The analysis of the timescales in the dynamics of the model provides a second example of the interest of the study of a system like DNA, which can be probed by a large variety of experimental methods. It illustrates how the comparison between theory and experiment has been fruitful to reach a model which is much more




Figure 4. Comparison of the dynamics of the model with the Morse potential $V(y)$ (top figure) and with the modified potential with a hump $V_h(y)$ (bottom figure) obtained from a numerical simulation of the models in contact with a thermal bath at 270 K. The stretching of the base pairs is shown by a grey scale going from white for a closed pair to black for a fully open pair $y \geq 2.0$ Å. The vertical axis extends along the DNA chain, which has 256 base pairs in these calculations, with periodic boundary conditions. The horizontal axis corresponds to time. The parameters used for this calculation are $K = 0.01$ eV Å⁻², $\rho = 3.0$, $\delta = 0.8$ Å⁻¹, $D = 0.05254$ eV, $\alpha = 4.0$ Å⁻¹ for the Morse potential (top figure) and $\alpha = \beta = 4.0$ Å⁻¹, $E = 4.0$ Å⁻¹ and $D = 0.0857$ eV for the potential $V_h(y)$ (bottom figure). The total time shown in these figures is $2 \cdot 10^{-8}$ s.

satisfactory than the original nonlinear lattice model of DNA. But this does not mean that all difficulties to describe DNA theoretically are solved. There are still open problems, currently under investigation but not yet satisfactorily solved.

4. Predicting the melting of short sequences: a challenge

The most interesting problem for DNA is the study of the role of the sequence on its properties, i.e. the influence of the genetic code. It is a challenge because it combines nonlinearity and heterogeneities. DNA contains 4 types of bases, labelled A,T,G,C, which can form only two types of pairs AT and GC. However, because the stacking depends on the relative position of the bases, AT followed by AT is not equivalent to AT followed by TA, so that there are 16 possible stacking interactions between adjacent pairs. Moreover AT pairs are linked by 2 hydrogen bonds while GC pairs are linked by three bonds. Thus AT pairs are easier to break and this difference can be reflected in the model by two types of potentials $V(y)$.

The thermal denaturation of a natural DNA segment is a complex process because some regions of the molecule open at lower temperature than others, giving an experimental denaturation pattern which is specific of the sequence. Understanding the origin of this pattern with a model is still an open problem. For very long sequences, with tens of thousands of base pairs, experiments do not resolve a fine structure and detect the opening of regions that extend over hundreds of base pairs. In this case Ising models, with an appropriate set of parameters, can be very successful [16]. On the contrary, for short sequences with a few tens of base pairs, the challenge

a large AT-rich domain [25]. The results show that the thermal fluctuations of this weaker region influence the structure and fluctuations of DNA about 10 base pairs away. This indicates that *non-local effects are important in DNA*. This explains why the theoretical description of the melting of short sequences is such a challenge. Models based on local effects can only give a rough picture of the reality. In many cases this is sufficient, but in specific cases such as the sequence of Fig. 5, these models fail badly.

5. Other open problems

The difficulty that we mentioned for short sequences could well be related to the three-dimensional structure of DNA. In the models at the scale of a base pair that we described in the previous sections, this structure is totally ignored. It would be pointless to try to go to the other extreme and introduce all atomic coordinates in a model. This is done in molecular dynamics simulations, which must also include the water molecules of the solvent and counter ions to give correct results, but it leads to extremely heavy calculations. A one-month simulation on a super-computer is something common when one intends to reach this level of details in the description. The interesting problem for nonlinear science is to determine what is essential, and restrict modelling to these aspects only. For DNA there is something that comes to mind immediately, it is the helicoidal structure. In the three-dimensional space, for a helix opening and twist are coupled. This can lead to interesting theoretical problems which have been considered for DNA [26, 27] in the particular case when the axis of the helix stays straight. This hypothesis significantly simplifies the model, but it is not realistic. For instance it is likely that the non-local effect discussed above for the melting of some sequences is related to a coupling between torsion, twist and opening, which is affected by the opening of the AT-rich region.

Even if one stays at the level the simple model with one degree of freedom per base pair, there is another open problem. It concerns the temperature range over which the transition occurs in short DNA molecules. In Sec. 2 we showed how the introduction of a nonlinear stacking interaction can sharpen the denaturation transition. But this result is obtained by a statistical physics analysis which is valid for an infinite lattice. When the calculation is performed for a short DNA chain, the transition broadens by finite size effects. This is expected but the problem is that this broadening is too large compared to experiments. This has a consequence on the calculated melting curves of short sequences because, for a molecule made of two segments that should show two distinct transitions, the model gives instead two broad overlapping melting ranges. As in the infinite case, this has to do with the entropy of the open state, which is not properly described with a single degree of freedom per base pair. In the infinite case the nonlinear stacking can bring a sufficient entropy because the open regions are very large, allowing extensive fluctuations. In a short DNA molecule the simple model shows its shortcoming, and a more complete description of the open state would be necessary. The method that we introduced for DNA hairpins, which uses a three-dimensional polymer model to describe the open regions of the molecule [28], might provide a solution to this problem, but it is hard to combine this approach with a model for the melting of the double helix.

Another open problem for the mesoscopic modelling of biomolecules is the definition of a correct thermostat. In numerical simulations one generally uses Langevin or Nose thermostats, which are valid when all the degrees of freedom of

the system of interest are described. In the case of DNA, it is easy to realise that, when a base pair is closed it is only weakly coupled to the solvent because the two bases are hidden inside the double helix. On the contrary, when the base pair is open, the bases are in close contact with the solvent. In a model where the opening is only described by a single variable y , its coupling with the thermostat should depend on the value of the variable. Some studies have been initiated in this direction, but the question is still deserving attention [29].

Finally we would like to point out that modelling DNA raises new interesting questions for nonlinear science itself. The potential (5) that we introduced to get the correct time scales for the DNA fluctuations in the model sustains breather-like localised excitations which exhibit a non-standard amplitude-energy curve [15]. These solutions do not oscillate around the ground state of the system, but around an exponentially localised equilibrium configuration $y_n(K)$ corresponding (for a harmonic coupling with $K \approx 0$) to the opening of a unique base pair. Interestingly, if $K \rightarrow 0$ the stretching of the open base pair at $n = n_0$ diverges logarithmically ($y_{n_0}(K) \sim -\frac{1}{\beta} \ln(K)$) while $y_n(K) \rightarrow 0$ for the other unexcited bases at $n \neq n_0$. This yields interesting mathematical problems, namely to analyze the movability of such localized states and to prove the existence of discrete breathers having similar properties. This point would require an extension of MacKay and Aubry's theory [7] allowing critical points at infinity.

References

- [1] J.D. Watson and F.H.C. Crick, *Molecular structure of nucleic acids*. Nature **171** 737-738 (1953)
- [2] S.W. Englander, N.R. Kallenbach, A.J. Heeger, J.A. Krumhansl, and S. Litwin, *Nature of the open state in long polynucleotide double helices: possibility of soliton excitations*. Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. (USA), **777**, 7222 (1980)
- [3] D. Poland and H.A. Scheraga, *Phase transitions in one-dimension and the Helix-Coil Transition in Polyamino-Acids*. J. Chem. Phys. **45** 1456-1463 (1966)
- [4] D. Poland and H.A. Scheraga, *Occurrence of a phase transition in nucleic acid models*. J. Chem. Phys. **45** 1464-1469 (1966)
- [5] M. Peyrard, *Nonlinear dynamics and statistical physics of DNA*. Nonlinearity **17**, R1-R40 (2004).
- [6] N. Theodorakopoulos, M. Peyrard and R.S. MacKay, *Nonlinear structures and thermodynamic instabilities in a one-dimensional lattice system* Phys. Rev. Lett. **93**, 258101-1-4 (2004)
- [7] R.S. MacKay and S. Aubry, *Proof of existence of breathers for time-reversible or Hamiltonian networks of weakly coupled oscillators*. Nonlinearity **7**, 1623-1643 (1994)
- [8] J. Maddox *Towards the calculation of DNA* Nature **339**, 577 (1989)
- [9] R.B. Inman and R.L. Baldwin, *Helix-Random Coil Transitions in DNA Homopolymer Pairs*. J. Mol. Biol. **8**, 452-469 (1964)
- [10] N. Theodorakopoulos, T. Dauxois and M. Peyrard, *Order of the phase transition in models of DNA thermal denaturation*. Phys. Rev. Lett. **85**, 6-9 (2000)
- [11] Y. Kafri, D. Mukamel and L. Peliti, *Why is the DNA Denaturation transition First Order?* Phys. Rev. Lett. **85** 4988-4991 (2000)
- [12] J.L. Leroy, M. Kochoyan, T. Huynh-Dinh and M. Guéron, *Characterization of Base-pair Opening in Deoxynucleotide duplexes using Catalyzed Exchange of the Imino Proton*. J. Mol. Biol. **200**, 223-238 (1988).
- [13] M. Guéron, E. Charretier, J. Hagerhorst, M. Kochoyan, J.L. Leroy and A. Moraillon, *Application of Imino Proton Exchange to Nucleic Acid Kinetics and Structures* in Structure and Methods, vol 3: DNA and RNA, Eds R.H. Sarma and M.H. Sarma, Adenine Press 1990
- [14] E. Giuice, P. Várnai and R. Lavery, *Base pair opening within B-DNA: free energy pathways for GC and AT pairs from umbrella sampling simulations*. Nucleic Acid Research **31** 1434-1443 (2003).
- [15] M. Peyrard, S. Cuesta-López, G. James, *Nonlinear analysis of the dynamics of DNA breathing* J. Biol. Phys. (to be published)

- [16] A. Wada, S. Yabuki and Y. Husimi *Fine structure in the thermal denaturation of DNA: high temperature resolution spectrometric studies*. CRC Critical Reviews in Biochemistry, November 1980, p. 87-144.
- [17] Chu H. Choi, G. Kalosakas, K. O. Rasmussen, M. Hiromura, A.R. Bishop and A. Usheva, *DNA dynamically directs its own transcription initiation* Nucleic Acid Research **32** 1584-1590 (2004)
- [18] T.S. van Erp, S. Cuesta-López, J.-G. Hagmann, and M. Peyrard, *Can one predict DNA Transcription Start Sites by studying bubbles?* Phys. Rev. Lett. **95**, 218104 (2005)
- [19] A. Montrichok, G. Gruner and G. Zocchi, *Trapping intermediates in the melting transition of DNA oligomers*. Europhys. Lett. **62**, 452-458 (2003)
- [20] Y. Zeng, A. Montrichok and G. Zocchi, *Bubble nucleation and cooperativity in DNA Melting*. J. Mol. Biol. **339** 67-75 (2004)
- [21] A. Spassky and D. Angelov, *Temperature-dependence of UV Laser one-electron oxidative guanine modifications as a probe of local stacking fluctuations and conformational transitions*. J. Mol. Biol. **323** 9-15 (2002)
- [22] See for instance the DINAMelt server
<http://www.bioinfo.rpi.edu/applications/hybrid/hybrid2.php>
N.R. Markham and M. Zuker, *DINAMelt web server for nucleic acid melting prediction*. Nucleic Acid Research **33**W577-581 (2005)
- [23] A. Campa and A. Giansanti, *Experimental tests of the Peyrard-Bishop model applied to the melting of very short DNA chains*. Phys. Rev. E **58**, 3585-3588 (1998)
- [24] V. Ivanov, D. Piontkovski and G. Zocchi, *Local cooperativity mechanism in the DNA melting transition* Phys. Rev. E **71**, 041909 (2005)
- [25] S. Cuesta-López, H. Menoni, D. Angelov and M. Peyrard, unpublished
- [26] M. Barbi, S. Cocco and M. Peyrard, *Helicoidal model for DNA opening*. Physics Letters A **253**, 358-369 (1999)
- [27] M. Barbi, S. Lepri, M. Peyrard and N. Theodorakopoulos, *Thermal denaturation of an helicoidal DNA model*. Phys. Rev. E **68** 061909-1-14 (2003)
- [28] J. Errami, M. Peyrard and N. Theodorakopoulos, *Modeling DNA beacons at the mesoscopic scale*. Eur. Phys. J. E **23**, 397-411 (2007)
- [29] A.A. Samoletov, C.P. Dettmann and M.A.J. Chaplain, *Thermostats for the "slow" configurational modes*. J. Stat. Phys. **128**, 1321-1336 (2007)