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# Experimental verification of a modified fluctuation-dissipation relation for a micron-sized particle in a non-equilibrium steady state 
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#### Abstract

A modified fluctuation-dissipation-theorem (MFDT) for a non-equilibrium steady state (NESS) is experimentally checked by studying the position fluctuations of a colloidal particle whose motion is confined in a toroidal optical trap. The NESS is generated by means of a rotating laser beam which exerts on the particle a sinusoidal conservative force plus a constant non-conservative one. The MFDT is shown to be perfectly verified by the experimental data. It can be interpreted as an equilibrium-like fluctuation-dissipation relation in the Lagrangian frame moving at the mean local velocity of the particle.


The validity of the fluctuation-dissipation theorem (FDT) in systems out of thermal equilibrium has been the subject of intensive study during the last years. We recall that for a system in equilibrium with a thermal bath at temperature $T$ the FDT establishes a simple relation between the 2-time correlation function $C(t-s)$ of a given observable and the linear response function $R(t-s)$ of this observable to a weak external perturbation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{s} C(t-s)=k_{B} T R(t-s) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

However, Eq. (1) is not necessarily fulfilled out of equilibrium and violations are observed in a variety of systems such as glassy materials [1, 2, 3, , , 5, 5, granular matter [6], and biophysical systems [7]. This motivated a theoretical work devoted to a search of a general framework describing FD relations, see the review [8] or [2, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14] for recent attempts in simple stochastic systems. In the same spirit, a modified fluctuation-dissipation theorem (MFDT) has been recently formulated for a non-equilibrium steady dynamics governed by the Langevin equation with nonconservative forces 15. In particular, this MFDT holds for the overdamped motion of a particle on a circle $0 \leq \theta<2 \pi$ in the presence of a periodic potential $H(\theta)=H(\theta+2 \pi)$ and a constant non-conservative force F

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{\theta}=-\partial_{\theta} H(\theta)+F+\zeta \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\zeta$ is a white noise term of mean $\left\langle\zeta_{t}\right\rangle=0$ and covariance $\left\langle\zeta_{t} \zeta_{s}\right\rangle=2 D \delta(t-s)$, with $D$ the diffusivity. The non-equilibrium steady state (NESS) associated to Eq. (2) corresponds to a constant non-vanishing probability current $j$ along the circle and an invariant probability density function $\rho_{0}(\theta)$ that allow us to define a mean local velocity $v_{0}(\theta)=j / \rho_{0}(\theta)$. This is the average velocity of the particle at $\theta$. For a stochastic system in NESS evolving according to Eq. (2), the MFDT reads for $t \geq s$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{s} C(t-s)-b(t-s)=k_{B} T R(t-s), \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the 2-time correlation of a given observable $O(\theta)$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
C(t-s)=\left\langle O\left(\theta_{t}\right) O\left(\theta_{s}\right)\right\rangle_{0}, \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the linear response function to a $\delta$-perturbation of the conjugated variable $h_{t}$ is given by the functional derivative

$$
\begin{equation*}
R(t-s)=\left.\frac{\delta}{\delta h_{s}}\right|_{h=0}\left\langle O\left(\theta_{t}\right)\right\rangle_{h} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

In Eq. (5), $\langle\ldots\rangle_{h}$ denotes the average in the perturbed time-dependent state obtained from the NESS by replacing $H(\theta)$ in Eq. (2) by $H(\theta)-h_{t} O(\theta)$. It reduces for $h=0$ to the NESS average $\langle\ldots\rangle_{0}$. In Eq. (3), the correlation $b(t-s)$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
b(t-s)=\left\langle O\left(\theta_{t}\right) v_{0}\left(\theta_{s}\right) \partial_{\theta} O\left(\theta_{s}\right)\right\rangle_{0} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

This new term takes into account the extent of the violation of the usual fluctuation-dissipation relation (1) due to the probability current and it plays the role of a corrective term to $C(t-s)$ in the MFDT, Eq. (3), which can be rewritten in the integral form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
C(0)-C(t)-B(t)=k_{B} T \chi(t) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $B(t) \equiv \int_{0}^{t} b(t-s) d s$ and $\chi(t)=\int_{0}^{t} R(t-s) d s$ is the integrated response function.

In this letter, we present an experimental test of Eq. (7) in the NESS attained by a micron-sized particle in a toroidal optical trap similar to the one used in 12. We first show that the dynamics of the particle is well described by the Langevin equation (2) on a circle. Secondly, by measuring $v_{0}, B(t), C(t)$ and $\chi(t)$, we verify Eq. (7) for the observable $O(\theta)=\sin \theta$. The result can be interpreted as an equilibrium-like fluctuationdissipation relation in the Lagrangian frame moving at the mean local velocity $v_{0}(\theta)$ 15. We also check that $\rho_{0}(\theta)$ is frame invariant.

The experiment is performed using a spherical silica particle of radius $r=1 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in ultrapure water at room


Figure 1: (a) Invariant probability density function of the angular position of the particle in NESS. Inset: Mean local velocity of the particle. (b) Profile of the potential $U(\theta)$. The arrow indicates the direction of the non-conservative force $f$.
temperature $T=20.0 \pm 0.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ at which the dynamic viscosity of water is $\eta=(1.002 \mp 0.010) \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~Pa}$ s. The particle is kept by an optical tweezers in a toroidal optical trap. This kind of trap consists on a Nd:YAG diode pumped solid state laser beam $(\lambda=1064 \mathrm{~nm})$ focused by a microscope objective $(63 \times, \mathrm{NA}=1.4)$ scanning a circle of radius $a=4.12 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in the horizontal plane at a rotation frequency of 200 Hz . The rotation of the beam is accomplished by means of two coupled acousto-optic deflectors working with a $\pi / 2$ phase shift. The toroidal trap is created $10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ above the inner bottom surface of the cell where hydrodynamic boundary-coupling effects on the particle are negligible. At a rotation frequency of 200 Hz , the laser beam is not able to hold the particle but drags it regularly a small distance on the circle when passing through it 16.]. The diffusive motion of the particle along the radial and vertical directions during the absence of the beam (mean square displacement of 40 nm ) is small enough so that the angular position of the particle $\theta$ (measured modulo $2 \pi$ ) is the only relevant degree of freedom of the dynamics. The laser power is sinusoidally modulated around 30 mW with an amplitude
of $7 \%$ of the mean power, synchronously with the deflection of the beam at 200 Hz creating a static sinusoidal intensity profile along the circle. This trapping situation acts as a constant non-conservative force $f$ associated to the mean kick which drives the particle across a sinusoidal potential $U(\theta)$ due to the periodic intensity profile. Images of the intensity contrast of the particle on the horizontal focal plane $x-y$ are recorded with a resolution of $160 \times 130$ pixels at a sampling rate of 150 frames per second. Tracking of the particle barycenter $\left(x_{t}, y_{t}\right)$ with the accuracy of $\sim 1 \mathrm{~nm}$ permits to determine the angular position of the particle $\theta_{t}$ with respect to the trap center. We determine the value of $f$ and the profile of $U(\theta)$ by means of the method described in 17. This method exploits the probability current $j$ and the invariant density $\rho_{0}(\theta)$ in NESS to reconstruct the actual energy landscape of the particle. We recorded 200 time series $\left\{\theta_{t}\right\}$ of duration 66.67 s with different initial conditions $\left\{\theta_{0}\right\}$ sampled every 5 minutes in order to measure $j$ and $\rho_{0}(\theta)$. The probability current is related to the global mean velocity of the particle by the expression $j=\langle\dot{\theta}\rangle_{0} /(2 \pi)$. The value of $\langle\dot{\theta}\rangle_{0}$ is calculated from the slope of the linear fit of the mean angular position of the particle (not taken modulo $2 \pi$ ) as a function of time leading to $j=3.76 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ in the direction of the laser beam rotation. The invariant density, shown in Fig. [1 (a), is computed from the histogram of each time series $\left\{\theta_{t}\right\}$ averaged over the 200 different initial conditions. In Fig. 1(a) we also show the mean local velocity $v_{0}(\theta)=j / \rho_{0}(\theta)$ of the particle. From these quantities we obtain $f=3$ rraj $\int_{0}^{2 \pi} \rho_{0}\left(\theta^{\prime}\right)^{-1} d \theta^{\prime}=6.60 \times 10^{-14} \mathrm{~N}$ and $U(\theta)=-k_{B} T \log \rho_{0}(\theta)+\int_{0}^{\theta}\left(f-6 \pi \eta r a j \rho_{0}\left(\theta^{\prime}\right)^{-1}\right) a d \theta^{\prime}=$ $A \sin \theta$ with amplitude $A=68.8 k_{B} T$. The experimental potential profile is shown in Fig. [1](b) (black solid line). Hence, the time evolution of $\theta$ is claimed to follow the Langevin dynamics of Eq. (2) [17] with $F=$ $f /(6 \pi \eta r a)=0.85 \mathrm{rad} \mathrm{s}^{-1}, H(\theta)=U(\theta) /\left(6 \pi \eta r a^{2}\right)=$ $H_{0} \sin \theta, H_{0}=A /\left(6 \pi \eta r a^{2}\right)=0.87 \mathrm{rad} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$, and $D=$ $k_{B} T /\left(6 \pi \eta r a^{2}\right)=1.26 \times 10^{-2} \operatorname{rad}^{2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. Note that in the corresponding equilibrium situation $(f=0)$ the probability maximum would be located at the minimum of $U(\theta) \quad(\theta=3 \pi / 2)$. However, in NESS the nonconservative force $f>0$ shifts the maximum of $\rho_{0}(\theta)$ in the positive direction, as shown in Fig. 11(a). The position of the maximum depends on the value of $f$. In order to enhance the stochastic nature of the dynamics, we purposely create by choosing $F \lesssim H_{0}$ a situation in which the particle stays long time around the maximum $\theta \approx 6 \mathrm{rad}$ whereas the rest of the circle is rarely visited.

Additionally, 500 times series of duration 100 s were specially devoted for the determination of $\chi(t)$. In this case, during each interval of 100 s we apply from time $t_{0}$ to $t_{0}+\Delta t$ with $0<t_{0}<66.67 \mathrm{~s}$ and $\Delta t=33.33 \mathrm{~s}$ a step perturbation changing the value of $A$ to $A+\delta A$. This is accomplished by suddenly switching the laser power modulation from $7 \%$ to $7.35 \%$ of the mean power ( 30


Figure 2: (a) Comparison between the different terms needed to verify Eq. (J), as functions of the time lag $t$. (b) Expanded view of the comparison between the curves $C(0)-C(t)-B(t)$ and $k_{B} T \chi(t)$ shown in Fig Z(a). The thin red dashed lines represent the error bars of the measurement of the integrated response.
$\mathrm{mW})$. By keeping constant the mean power during the switch we ensure that the value of $f$ remains also constant, compare to a different time-dependent protocol explored recently in 18. The experimental shape of the perturbed potential with amplitude $A+\delta A$ is shown in Fig. 1(b) (red dashed line). In this way, we extract 500 perturbed trajectories $\left\{\theta_{t}\right\}_{\delta A}$ of duration $\Delta t=33.33 \mathrm{~s}$. We ensure that after switching off the perturbation the system actually has attained a NESS before the beginning of the next step perturbation. We checked that the value of $\delta A$ obtained by means of this procedure ( $\delta A=0.05 A$ ) is small enough to remain within the linear response regime for time lags $0 \leq t \leq 3.5 \mathrm{~s}$. For 3.5 s $<t$ nonlinearities become important. Consequently, the response function is only measured for the first 3.5 s of the perturbed trajectories.

With the purpose of determining correctly the different terms involved in Eq. (7), the observable $O(\theta)$ must be chosen consistently on both sides of such relation. The change of the potential $U(\theta) \rightarrow U(\theta)+\delta A \sin \theta$ due the application of the step perturbation implies that


Figure 3: Example of trajectories used to compute the intergrated response. We show an perturbed trajectory (thick dashed red line) and four out of a total of 200 of the corresponding unperturbed ones (see text).
$O(\theta)=\sin \theta$ is the observable that must be studied with $-\delta A$ as its conjugate variable. Hence, we compute the correlation function $C(t)$, the corrective term $B(t)$ (with $\partial_{\theta} O(\theta)=\cos \theta$ and the experimental curve $v_{0}(\theta)$ shown in Fig. 1(a)) and the integrated response $\chi(t)$ for this observable, as functions of the time lag $t$.

The determination of $C(t)$ and $B(t)$ is straightforward according to Eqs. (4) and (6). The stationarity of the system allows to perform an average over the time origin in addition to the ensemble average $\langle\ldots\rangle_{0}$ over the 200 different time series devoted to this purpose, which increases enormously the statistics. The dependence of $C(0)-C(t)$ and $B(t)$ on $t$ is shown in Fig. 2(a) in dotted-dashed green and blue dashed lines, respectively.

On the other hand, the integrated response $\chi(t)$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi(t)=\frac{\left\langle O\left(\theta_{t}\right)\right\rangle_{\delta A}-\left\langle O\left(\theta_{t}\right)\right\rangle_{0}}{-\delta A} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

In Eq. (8) the value $t=0$ corresponds to instant $t_{0}$ when the perturbation of the potential amplitude $\delta A$ is switched on. To decrease the statistical errors in comparison of the terms in Eq. (8), for a given perturbed trajectory $\theta_{t \delta A}$ we look for as many unperturbed ones $\theta_{t}$ as possible among the 200 time series $\left\{\theta_{t}\right\}$ starting at a time $t^{*}$ such that $O\left(\theta_{t^{*}}\right)=O\left(\theta_{0 \delta A}\right)$. Then we redefine $t^{*}$ as $t=0$ in Eq. (8), as shown in Fig. 3. The unperturbed trajectories found in this way allow us to define a subensemble over which the average $\left\langle O\left(\theta_{t}\right)\right\rangle_{0}$ in Eq. (8) is computed at a given $t$. The average $\left\langle O\left(\theta_{t}\right)\right\rangle_{\delta A}$ is simply computed over the 500 perturbed time series. In Fig. 2(a) we show in thick dashed red line the dependence of the integrated response on $t$.

The comparison between the different terms needed to verify Eq. (3) is shown in Fig. E(a), for the time lag interval $0<t<3.5 \mathrm{~s}$ where the linear response regime is


Figure 4: Invariant density of the angular position measured in the Eulerian frame (same as in Fig. 11(b)) and in the Lagrangian frame. Inset: example of a trajectory measured in both frames.
valid. As expected, the usual FD relation (1) is strongly violated in this NESS because of the broken detailed balance, with the correlation term $C(0)-C(t)$ being one order of magnitude larger than the response term $k_{B} T \chi(t)$. However, with the corrective term $B(t)$ associated to the probability current subtracted, $C(0)-C(t)-B(t)$ shown in solid black line in Fig. 2(a), becomes equal to $k_{B} T \chi(t)$. For clarity, in Fig. 2(b) we show an expanded view of the of the curves $C(0)-C(t)-B(t)$ and $k_{B} T \chi(t)$. We observe that, within the experimental error bars, the agreement between both terms is quite good, verifying the integrated form of the modified FD relation (7). The error bars of the integrated response curve at each time lag $t$ are obtained from the standard deviation of the
subensemble of unperturbed trajectories found for each perturbed trajectory, like the ones shown in thin solid lines in Fig. 3 .

As shown in [15], the validity of Eq. (7) for the fluctuations of the angular position of the silica particle in NESS gains a simpler interpretation in the Lagrangian frame moving with the mean local velocity along the circle shown in Fig. 1(a). In the inset of Fig. 日 we show an example of one trajectory measured in both the laboratory (Eulerian) and the Lagrangian frames. Using the observables that are time independent in the Lagrangian frame, the MFDT may be rewritten in the form

$$
\partial_{s} C(t, s)=k_{B} T R(t, s),
$$

close to that of the equilibrium FDT (Eq. (11)) except for the lack of the time translation invariance of the functions involved. One of the new predictions of the Lagrangian analysis of the system is that the density $\rho_{0}$ stays unchanged in the Lagrangian frame. We have checked that on the experimental data shown in Fig. ©.

We have verified experimentally a modified fluctuation-dissipation relation describing the dynamics of a system with one degree of freedom in NESS, namely a Brownian particle moving in a toroidal optical trap. We point out that the experimental results reported here represent an alternative approach to non-equilibrium fluctuation-dissipation relations to that of Ref. 12 which dealt with the velocity fluctuations relative to the mean local velocity. The approach followed in our work relies on an observable depending on the particle position. It quantifies the extent of the violation of the usual FDT by means of the term $B(t)$, admitting a transparent Lagrangian interpretation of the resulting MFDT.
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