



**HAL**  
open science

## Reply to the comment by H. Pleiner and H.R. Brand

Patrick Oswald, Alain Dequidt

► **To cite this version:**

Patrick Oswald, Alain Dequidt. Reply to the comment by H. Pleiner and H.R. Brand. EPL - Europhysics Letters, 2010, 89 (2), pp.26004. ensl-00507799

**HAL Id: ensl-00507799**

**<https://ens-lyon.hal.science/ensl-00507799v1>**

Submitted on 1 Aug 2010

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



A LETTERS JOURNAL EXPLORING  
THE FRONTIERS OF PHYSICS

OFFPRINT

**Reply to the Comment by H. Pleiner and H. R.  
Brand**

P. OSWALD and A. DEQUIDT

EPL, **89** (2010) 26004

Please visit the new website  
[www.epljournal.org](http://www.epljournal.org)

# TARGET YOUR RESEARCH WITH EPL



Sign up to receive the free EPL table of  
contents alert.

[www.epljournal.org/alerts](http://www.epljournal.org/alerts)

Reply

## Reply to the Comment by H. Pleiner and H. R. Brand

P. OSWALD<sup>1(a)</sup> and A. DEQUIDT<sup>2</sup><sup>1</sup> *Université de Lyon, Laboratoire de Physique, École Normale Supérieure de Lyon, CNRS - 46 Allée d'Italie, 69364 Lyon, France, EU*<sup>2</sup> *Laboratoire des Polymères et Matériaux Avancés, UMR 5268 CNRS/Rhodia CIM, Centre de Recherche & Technologie de Lyon - 85, rue des Frères Perret, 69192 Saint-Fons, France, EU*

received 23 November 2009; accepted 5 January 2010

published online 1 February 2010

PACS 61.30.-v – Liquid crystals

PACS 05.70.Ln – Nonequilibrium and irreversible thermodynamics

PACS 65.40.De – Thermal expansion; thermomechanical effects

Copyright © EPLA, 2010

In their comment [1], Pleiner and Brand claimed to resolve the apparent contradiction between experiments and theory regarding the existence of a Lehmann effect in compensated cholesteric liquid crystals. Their article contains however some ambiguities that deserve further comments.

Apart from racemic mixtures, materials made of chiral molecules are intrinsically chiral, whatever the orientation of these molecules. For instance, an aqueous solution of chiral molecules is chiral and this can be demonstrated experimentally by measuring chiral physical properties such as its optical rotatory power. Recently, we emphasized that the same held for a compensated cholesteric, which is intrinsically chiral even though the molecules are not arranged in a helical structure [2]. This chirality is even experimentally observable and was first shown by Éber and Jánossy [3] and confirmed by our group more recently [2].

Chiral properties that may occur in chiral materials are theoretically described by pseudo-scalars, *i.e.* scalars which change sign under parity. Rotatory power in chiral solutions, spontaneous helical twist and Lehmann-type coefficients in cholesterics are such pseudo-scalars. From Curie's symmetry principle, chiral properties cannot appear in achiral phases, and accordingly the pseudo-scalar coefficients have to be zero in achiral phases. But the converse is not true: chiral properties of chiral phases may accidentally individually vanish. This is what happens in compensated cholesterics when the helical twist vanishes. Nevertheless the phase remains intrinsically chiral at the macroscopic scale at the compensation point where Lehmann-type couplings can be evidenced.

A system is either equivalent to its image in a mirror or chiral. Chirality is thus a 0/1 property. A finer description might be desired to quantify how much the material is chiral. For that reason, Pleiner and Brand proposed to introduce a new quantity  $q_0$  in order to quantify chirality. This notation is quite confusing because  $q_0$  is not the equilibrium twist, also denoted by  $q_0$  in the introduction of the comment. They then proposed that every pseudo-scalar coefficients characterizing the cholesteric phase be proportional to  $q_0$  with a prefactor that can possibly vanish at a certain temperature. In this framework, the linear twist coefficient  $k_2$  in the Frank elastic free energy reads  $k_2 = L_2 q_0$ , where  $L_2$  vanishes at the compensation temperature (as a consequence the equilibrium twist  $q_0^{helix}$ , equal to  $-k_2/K_2$ , also vanishes at this temperature, as expected). The problem, in our opinion, is that the definition of  $q_0$  is too vague. In addition, we do not see any justification to explicitly write that all pseudo-scalar coefficients are proportional to  $q_0$ .

Another problem concerns the scalar quantities  $\Delta$  used to describe the (density, entropy, etc.) deviations with respect to the unwound configuration of the director field. We believe that it is incorrect and misleading to describe this configuration as an achiral state of the system, because, as we previously emphasized, the unwound cholesteric (being compensated or not) is still chiral.

In conclusion, it is currently admitted that the Lehmann coefficient does not vanish usually at the compensation temperature of a cholesteric phase. This result is due to the fact that, at the compensation temperature, the unwound cholesteric is still chiral at the macroscopic scale in spite of its "nematic-like" structure (its symmetry group is  $D_\infty$  and not  $D_{\infty h}$  as in usual nematics). For that reason, it is simply incorrect to write, as theorists proposed before [4,5], that the Lehmann coefficient is proportional

<sup>(a)</sup>E-mail: patrick.oswald@ens-lyon.fr

to the equilibrium twist  $q_0^{helix}$  in the vicinity of the compensation point. If one admits this result, there is, from our point of view, no real, nor even apparent, contradiction between the classical theory and the observations. Pleiner and Brand introduced a new quantity  $q_0$  to quantify chirality (we highly recommend to use another notation,  $\chi$  for instance, since  $q_0$  is not the equilibrium twist). This idea could indeed be interesting but the definition of  $q_0$  needs to be better clarified and its proportionality to all pseudo-scalar coefficients proven.

## REFERENCES

- [1] PLEINER H. and BRAND H. R., *EPL*, **89** (2009) 26003 (this issue).
- [2] OSWALD P. and DEQUIDT A., *EPL*, **83** (2008) 16005.
- [3] ÉBER N. and JÁNOSSY I., *Mol. Cryst. Liq. Cryst. Lett.*, **72** (1982) 233.
- [4] DE GENNES P.-G., *The Physics of Liquid Crystals* (Clarendon Press, Oxford) 1974, p. 264.
- [5] PLEINER H. and BRAND H. R., *Mol. Cryst. Liq. Cryst. Lett.*, **5** (1987) 61.