



HAL
open science

Non-critical equivariant L-values of modular abelian varieties

François Brunault

► **To cite this version:**

François Brunault. Non-critical equivariant L-values of modular abelian varieties. *International Journal of Number Theory*, 2018, 14 (09), pp.2517-2542. ensl-01953036

HAL Id: ensl-01953036

<https://ens-lyon.hal.science/ensl-01953036>

Submitted on 12 Dec 2018

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

NON-CRITICAL EQUIVARIANT L -VALUES OF MODULAR ABELIAN VARIETIES

FRANÇOIS BRUNAUT

ABSTRACT. We prove an equivariant version of Beilinson's conjecture on non-critical L -values of strongly modular abelian varieties over number fields. The proof builds on Beilinson's theorem on modular curves as well as a modularity result for endomorphism algebras. As an application, we prove a weak version of Zagier's conjecture on $L(E, 2)$ and Deninger's conjecture on $L(E, 3)$ for non-CM strongly modular \mathbf{Q} -curves.

The purpose of this article is to use the full strength of Beilinson's theorem on modular curves to prove the following result.

Theorem 1. *Let A be an abelian variety defined over a number field K such that the Hasse-Weil L -function $L(A/K, s)$ is a product of L -functions of newforms of weight 2 without complex multiplication on congruence subgroups of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{Z})$. Then for every integer $n \geq 2$, the weak form of Beilinson's conjecture on $L(A/K, n)$ holds.*

We in fact prove a slightly stronger result, namely an equivariant version of Beilinson's conjecture for the Chow motive $H^1(A/K)$ with coefficients in the endomorphism algebra of A , at every non-critical integer (see Corollary 34).

In the case A is an elliptic curve defined over \mathbf{Q} , Theorem 1 is a direct consequence of Beilinson's theorem on the modular curve $X_1(N)$, together with the existence of a modular parametrization $X_1(N) \rightarrow A$. In the case $K = \mathbf{Q}$, the simple abelian varieties satisfying the assumption of Theorem 1 are precisely the classical modular abelian varieties A_f attached to newforms f of weight 2 on $\Gamma_1(N)$. Note that in general A_f may split over an abelian extension of \mathbf{Q} , and Theorem 1 applies to every factor of A_f .

In the particular case of elliptic curves, Theorem 1 has the following consequence on Zagier's conjecture (see [32] for the statement of Zagier's conjecture). Recall that a \mathbf{Q} -curve is an elliptic curve E over a number field K which is isogenous to all its Galois conjugates.

Corollary 2. *Let E be a \mathbf{Q} -curve without complex multiplication over a number field K such that $L(E/K, s)$ is a product of L -functions of newforms of weight 2. Then the weak form of Zagier's conjecture on $L(E/K, 2)$ holds.*

Note that Corollary 2 is a generalization of [4, Thm 1, Cor], where we considered the case of the base change of an elliptic curve E/\mathbf{Q} to an abelian number field. Note also that the case of CM elliptic curves was already worked out by Deninger [8, 9].

Thanks to the work of Ribet and the proof of Serre's conjecture due to Khare and Wintenberger, \mathbf{Q} -curves are known to be modular in the sense that they admit a modular parametrization by $X_1(N)_{\overline{\mathbf{Q}}}$ for some integer N . If this parametrization happens to be defined over an abelian number field, then Corollary 2 applies. For instance, Corollary 2 applies to every non-CM \mathbf{Q} -curve E defined over a quadratic field K whose isogeny $E \rightarrow E^\sigma$ is also defined over K . To our knowledge, this is the first proof of Zagier's conjecture on $L(E, 2)$ for a non-CM elliptic curve which is genuinely defined over a number field.

Using Goncharov's results [13], we also get the following consequence on Deninger's conjecture on $L(E, 3)$ (see [10, 13] for an account of Deninger's conjecture).

Date: December 12, 2018.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 11G40; Secondary 11G55, 19F27.

Key words and phrases. Modular abelian varieties, L -functions, Beilinson conjectures, Zagier's conjecture, Deninger's conjecture.

Corollary 3. *Let E be a \mathbf{Q} -curve without complex multiplication over a number field K such that $L(E/K, s)$ is a product of L -functions of newforms of weight 2. Then the weak form of Deninger's conjecture on $L(E/K, 3)$ holds.*

The proof of Theorem 1 builds on the profound results of Beilinson [1] on values of L -functions associated to modular forms. The main technical ingredients are a Hecke-equivariant version of Beilinson's theorem, together with a modularity result for endomorphism algebras. More precisely, we show that every endomorphism of a modular abelian variety A_f which is defined over an abelian extension of \mathbf{Q} is of automorphic origin, making slightly more precise a theorem of Ribet (see §3.3).

In order to deal with Beilinson's conjecture for the factors of $J_1(N)$ over $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}$, we are naturally led to use the equivariant formalism developed by Burns and Flach [5]. The need for this formalism can be explained as follows. Imagine that an abelian variety A/\mathbf{Q} decomposes as a product $A_1 \times A_2$, so that the L -function of A decomposes as $L(A, s) = L(A_1, s)L(A_2, s)$. If Beilinson's conjecture (at some integer) holds for A_1 and A_2 , then it holds for A , but the converse is not clear. If we use the equivariant L -function instead, then Beilinson's conjecture for A implies readily the conjecture for A_1 and A_2 .

Throughout the article, we will work with Chow motives of abelian varieties. Although this could be avoided, we chose this point of view because we need to transport Beilinson's theorem from a modular curve to its Jacobian variety, and this is most naturally done by comparing the Chow motive of this curve with the Chow motive of its Jacobian.

This work originates in an invitation at Kyoto University in October 2010. I gave a lecture on the results of [4] and Prof. Hida suggested that the same method could work for \mathbf{Q} -curves. I would like to thank Prof. Hida for his valuable suggestion. I am also grateful to the referee for several helpful comments. I would like to thank Xavier Guitart for providing me a reference allowing me to drop the assumption that K is Galois in Theorem 1. Finally, I would like to thank Frédéric Déglise, Gabriel Dospinescu, Vincent Pilloni for stimulating discussions on related topics.

1. THE EQUIVARIANT BEILINSON CONJECTURE

In this section we review the formulation of the equivariant Beilinson conjecture for Chow motives endowed with an action of a semisimple algebra. We consider Chow motives with coefficients in a number field E . The results in this section remain valid if E is an arbitrary subfield of $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}$ (although in this case $E \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{R}$ need not be semisimple), but we won't need this level of generality.

Notations. For any \mathbf{Q} -vector space V and any field F of characteristic 0, we put $V_F = V \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} F$. For any ring R , we denote by $Z(R)$ the center of R .

1.1. Chow motives with coefficients. Let us review some background material on Chow motives.

Let K and E be two number fields. The category $\text{CHM}_K(E)$ of Chow motives defined over K with coefficients in E consists of triples (X_d, p, n) where X_d denotes a d -dimensional smooth projective K -variety, $p \in \text{CH}^d(X \times_K X) \otimes E$ is an idempotent and $n \in \mathbf{Z}$ ([21, Chapter 2], [17, §4]). Morphisms between two objects $M = (X_d, p, m)$ and $N = (Y_e, q, n)$ in $\text{CHM}_K(E)$ are given by

$$\text{Hom}(M, N) = q \circ (\text{CH}^{d+n-m}(X \times_K Y) \otimes E) \circ p.$$

Note that $\text{End}(M)$ is an E -algebra, and every idempotent $e \in \text{End}(M)$ has kernel and image in $\text{CHM}_K(E)$. Note that we use contravariant notations for our motives: there is a contravariant functor sending a smooth projective K -variety X to the motive $h(X) = (X, \Delta_X, 0)$, where Δ_X denotes the class of the diagonal in $X \times X$. Any morphism $\phi : X \rightarrow Y$ gives rise to a morphism $\phi^* : h(Y) \rightarrow h(X)$, defined by the class of the graph of ϕ .

Let $M = (X_d, p, n) \in \text{CHM}_K(E)$ be a Chow motive, and let $0 \leq i \leq 2d$ be an integer. We will denote by the formal notation $H^i(M)$ the following system of realizations:

- for any embedding $\sigma : K \hookrightarrow \mathbf{C}$, the Betti realization

$$H_{\mathbf{B}, \sigma}^i(M) = p^* H_{\mathbf{B}}^i(X_{\sigma}(\mathbf{C}), E(n));$$

- the de Rham realization

$$H_{\mathrm{dR}}^i(M) = p^*(H_{\mathrm{dR}}^i(X) \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} E);$$

- for any prime ℓ , the ℓ -adic étale realization

$$H_{\mathrm{ét}}^i(M) = p^*[H_{\mathrm{ét}}^i(X_{\overline{K}}, \mathbf{Z}_{\ell}(n)) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}_{\ell}} (E \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{Q}_{\ell})].$$

These realizations are linked by the following comparison theorems. For any embedding $\sigma : K \hookrightarrow \mathbf{C}$, we have an isomorphism of $E \otimes \mathbf{C}$ -modules (Grothendieck's theorem)

$$(1) \quad I_{\sigma} : H_{\mathrm{B},\sigma}^i(M) \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{C} \xrightarrow{\cong} H_{\mathrm{dR}}^i(M) \otimes_{K,\sigma} \mathbf{C},$$

and for any embedding $\tilde{\sigma} : \overline{K} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{C}$ extending σ , we have an isomorphism of $E \otimes \mathbf{Q}_{\ell}$ -modules

$$I_{\ell,\tilde{\sigma}} : H_{\mathrm{B},\sigma}^i(M) \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{Q}_{\ell} \xrightarrow{\cong} H_{\mathrm{ét}}^i(M).$$

By definition, the *weight* of $H^i(M)$ is $i - 2n$. Recall the Hodge decomposition $H_{\mathrm{B},\sigma}^i(M) \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{C} = \bigoplus_{a+b=i-2n} H_{\sigma}^{a,b}(M)$. The E -vector space $H_{\mathrm{dR}}^i(M)$ carries a decreasing filtration $(\mathrm{Fil}^k H_{\mathrm{dR}}^i(M))_{k \in \mathbf{Z}}$ such that

$$(\mathrm{Fil}^k H_{\mathrm{dR}}^i(M)) \otimes_{K,\sigma} \mathbf{C} = I_{\sigma} \left(\bigoplus_{a \geq k} H_{\sigma}^{a,b}(M) \right).$$

We put $H_{\mathrm{B}}^i(M) = \bigoplus_{\sigma: K \rightarrow \mathbf{C}} H_{\mathrm{B},\sigma}^i(M)$, so that the various isomorphisms (1) combine to give

$$I_{\infty} : H_{\mathrm{B}}^i(M) \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{C} \xrightarrow{\cong} H_{\mathrm{dR}}^i(M) \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{C}.$$

We put $\mathrm{Fil}^k(H_{\mathrm{B}}^i(M) \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{C}) = I_{\infty}^{-1}(\mathrm{Fil}^k H_{\mathrm{dR}}^i(M) \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{C})$ and $\overline{\mathrm{Fil}}^k = (\mathrm{id} \otimes c)(\mathrm{Fil}^k)$, where c denotes the complex conjugation. Note that $\overline{\mathrm{Fil}}^k(H_{\mathrm{B}}^i(M) \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{C}) = \bigoplus_{\sigma} \bigoplus_{b \geq k} H_{\sigma}^{a,b}(M)$.

Let A be an E -algebra. We denote by $\mathrm{CHM}_K(A)$ the category of Chow motives in $\mathrm{CHM}_K(E)$ endowed with an action of A . Its objects are pairs (M, ρ) with $M \in \mathrm{CHM}_K(E)$ and $\rho : A \rightarrow \mathrm{End}(M)$ is a morphism of E -algebras. Morphisms in $\mathrm{CHM}_K(A)$ are morphisms in $\mathrm{CHM}_K(E)$ commuting with the action of A . The category $\mathrm{CHM}_K(A)$ is additive but not abelian. If $M \in \mathrm{CHM}_K(A)$ then all realizations of M have natural structures of left A -modules, and the comparison isomorphisms are A -linear. If $e \in A$ is an idempotent then we may define $e(M) \in \mathrm{CHM}_K(eAe)$.

Assume A is finite-dimensional and semisimple. Conjecturally, we then have an equivariant L -function $L({}_A H^i(M), s)$ taking values in the center $Z(A_{\mathbf{C}})$ of $A_{\mathbf{C}} := A \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{C}$ [5, §4]. This function should be meromorphic in the sense that for every embedding σ of E into \mathbf{C} , the function $s \mapsto L({}_A H^i(M), s)^{\sigma} \in Z(A \otimes_{E,\sigma} \mathbf{C})$ is meromorphic. In the case $A = E = \mathbf{Q}$, we recover the usual complex-valued L -function $L(H^i(M), s)$. For any $M \in \mathrm{CHM}_K(A)$ and any integer $n \in \mathbf{Z}$, we denote by $M(n) := M \otimes E(n)$ the n -th Tate twist of M . Recall that

$$(2) \quad L({}_A H^i(M(n)), s) = L({}_A H^i(M), s + n) \quad (s \in \mathbf{C}).$$

For any motive $M = (X_d, p, n)$, we denote by $M^* = (X_d, {}^t p, d - n)$ the dual motive. If A acts on M then A^{op} acts on M^* . Conjecturally, the function $L({}_A H^i(M), s)$ extends to a meromorphic function on \mathbf{C} and there is a functional equation relating $L({}_A H^i(M), s)$ and $L({}_{A^{\mathrm{op}}} H^{2d-i}(M^*), 1 - s)$.

Remark 1. According to [28, 6.2], given a smooth projective variety X/K of dimension d , there should be a direct sum decomposition $h(X) = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{2d} h^i(X)$ in the category $\mathrm{CHM}_K(\mathbf{Q})$. Such a decomposition is known in the case X/K is an abelian variety [11], which is the only case we will consider in this paper. In this case, we even have canonical Chow-Künneth projectors $p_0, \dots, p_{2d} \in \mathrm{End}(h(X))$ such that $(X, p_i, 0) \cong h^i(X)$ for every $0 \leq i \leq 2d$. These projectors are compatible with morphisms of abelian varieties [11, Prop 3.3]. Thus for every morphism $\phi : X \rightarrow Y$ of abelian varieties over K , we get morphisms $\phi^* : h^i(Y) \rightarrow h^i(X)$.

1.2. Relative K -theory. Let E be a number field, and let A be a finite-dimensional semisimple E -algebra. The A -equivariant versions of the Beilinson conjectures are most conveniently formulated using the relative K -group $K_0(A, \mathbf{R})$. Recall that $K_0(A, \mathbf{R})$ is an abelian group generated by triples (X, f, Y) where X and Y are finitely generated A -modules and $f : X_{\mathbf{R}} \rightarrow Y_{\mathbf{R}}$ is an isomorphism of $A_{\mathbf{R}}$ -modules [31, p. 215]. Note that X and Y are automatically projective since A is semisimple. This group sits in an exact sequence [31, Thm 15.5]

$$(3) \quad K_1(A) \rightarrow K_1(A_{\mathbf{R}}) \xrightarrow{\delta} K_0(A, \mathbf{R}) \rightarrow K_0(A) \rightarrow K_0(A_{\mathbf{R}}).$$

Lemma 4. *The map $K_0(A) \rightarrow K_0(A_{\mathbf{R}})$ is injective.*

Proof. It suffices to consider the case where A is simple, in other words $A = M_n(D)$ for some division algebra D over E . Since $K_0(M_n(B))$ is canonically isomorphic to $K_0(B)$ for every ring B , it suffices to prove the injectivity of $K_0(D) \rightarrow K_0(D_{\mathbf{R}})$. Let σ be an embedding of E into \mathbf{C} . Since $D \otimes_{E, \sigma} \mathbf{C}$ is semisimple, there exists a ring morphism $D \otimes_{E, \sigma} \mathbf{C} \rightarrow M_n(\mathbf{C})$. Now the composite map

$$\mathbf{Z} \cong K_0(D) \rightarrow K_0(D_{\mathbf{R}}) \rightarrow K_0(D \otimes_{E, \sigma} \mathbf{C}) \rightarrow K_0(M_n(\mathbf{C})) \cong \mathbf{Z}$$

sends 1 to n , thus is injective. \square

Since A is semisimple, we have a reduced norm map $\text{nr} : K_1(A) \rightarrow Z(A)^\times$ [7, §45A].

Lemma 5. *The reduced norm map nr is injective.*

Proof. We may assume that A is a central simple algebra over E , in which case the result follows from [7, (45.3)]. \square

The algebras $A_{\mathbf{R}}$ and $A_{\mathbf{C}}$ are semisimple so we also have reduced norm maps on $K_1(A_{\mathbf{R}})$ and $K_1(A_{\mathbf{C}})$ making the following diagram commute:

$$(4) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} K_1(A) & \longrightarrow & K_1(A_{\mathbf{R}}) & \longrightarrow & K_1(A_{\mathbf{C}}) \\ \downarrow \text{nr} & & \downarrow \text{nr}_{\mathbf{R}} & & \downarrow \text{nr}_{\mathbf{C}} \\ Z(A)^\times & \longrightarrow & Z(A_{\mathbf{R}})^\times & \longrightarrow & Z(A_{\mathbf{C}})^\times. \end{array}$$

By Lemma 5 and diagram (4), the map $K_1(A) \rightarrow K_1(A_{\mathbf{R}})$ is injective. The exact sequence (3) thus simplifies to

$$(5) \quad 0 \rightarrow K_1(A) \rightarrow K_1(A_{\mathbf{R}}) \xrightarrow{\delta} K_0(A, \mathbf{R}) \rightarrow 0.$$

Example 1. Let us consider the classical case, namely $A = E = \mathbf{Q}$. Then $K_1(A) = \mathbf{Q}^\times$ and $K_1(A_{\mathbf{R}}) = \mathbf{R}^\times$ so that $K_0(A, \mathbf{R})$ can be identified with $\mathbf{R}^\times / \mathbf{Q}^\times$. Moreover, using this identification, the class of (X, f, Y) in $K_0(A, \mathbf{R})$ is none other than the determinant of f with respect to bases of X and Y .

Lemma 6. *The map $\text{nr}_{\mathbf{R}}$ is injective and the map $\text{nr}_{\mathbf{C}}$ is an isomorphism. Moreover, the left-hand square of diagram (4) is Cartesian: identifying the groups $K_1(A)$, $K_1(A_{\mathbf{R}})$ and $Z(A)^\times$ with subgroups of $Z(A_{\mathbf{R}})^\times$, we have*

$$(6) \quad Z(A_{\mathbf{R}})^\times = Z(A)^\times \cdot K_1(A_{\mathbf{R}}) \quad \text{and} \quad K_1(A) = Z(A)^\times \cap K_1(A_{\mathbf{R}}).$$

Proof. We may assume that A is a central simple algebra over E . The injectivity of $\text{nr}_{\mathbf{R}}$ and the bijectivity of $\text{nr}_{\mathbf{C}}$ are proved in [7, (45.3)]. Let Σ_∞ be the set of archimedean places of E . For any $v \in \Sigma_\infty$, let $A_v := A \otimes_E E_v$, so that $A_{\mathbf{R}} \cong \prod_{v \in \Sigma_\infty} A_v$ and $Z(A_{\mathbf{R}})^\times = (E \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{R})^\times = \prod_{v \in \Sigma_\infty} E_v^\times$. Let Σ be the set of places $v \in \Sigma_\infty$ such that $E_v = \mathbf{R}$ and A_v is isomorphic to a matrix algebra over the real quaternions. By [7, (45.3)], we have

$$(7) \quad \text{nr}(K_1(A)) = \{x \in E^\times; x_v > 0 \text{ for every } v \in \Sigma\}$$

$$(8) \quad \text{nr}_{\mathbf{R}}(K_1(A_{\mathbf{R}})) = \{(x_v)_{v \in \Sigma_\infty}; x_v > 0 \text{ for every } v \in \Sigma\}.$$

In particular the image of $\text{nr}_{\mathbf{R}}$ contains the connected component of identity in $Z(A_{\mathbf{R}})^\times$. Since E^\times is dense in $(E \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{R})^\times$, the first identity of (6) follows. The second equation is an immediate consequence of (7) and (8). \square

Following the terminology of [5, §4.2], the *extended boundary map* $\hat{\delta} : Z(A_{\mathbf{R}})^{\times} \rightarrow K_0(A, \mathbf{R})$ is the unique extension of δ to $Z(A_{\mathbf{R}})^{\times}$ which vanishes on $Z(A)^{\times}$ (such an extension exists and is unique by Lemma 6).

Let X, Y, Z be finitely generated A -modules, together with a short exact sequence of $A_{\mathbf{R}}$ -modules

$$0 \rightarrow X_{\mathbf{R}} \xrightarrow{\alpha} Y_{\mathbf{R}} \xrightarrow{\beta} Z_{\mathbf{R}} \rightarrow 0.$$

Since $Z_{\mathbf{R}}$ is projective over $A_{\mathbf{R}}$, this sequence splits and the map β admits a section $s : Z_{\mathbf{R}} \rightarrow Y_{\mathbf{R}}$. Then the element $\vartheta = (X \oplus Z, \alpha \oplus s, Y) \in K_0(A, \mathbf{R})$ is independent of the choice of s .

1.3. Statement of the conjecture in the region of convergence. In this section we state an equivariant version of Beilinson's conjecture on special values of L -functions in the region of absolute convergence. This conjecture is a particular case of the equivariant Tamagawa number conjecture of Burns and Flach [5, §4.3]. Since we don't consider the integrality part of the conjecture in this article, the formulation becomes in fact much simpler.

Let E be a number field, and let A be a finite-dimensional semisimple E -algebra. Fix a Chow motive $M = (X_d, p, 0, \rho)$ in $\text{CHM}_K(A)$ and an integer $0 \leq i \leq 2d$. Whenever defined, the equivariant L -function $L({}_A H^i(M), s)$ converges absolutely in the region $\Re(s) > \frac{i}{2} + 1$, and because of the Euler product, its values at integers in this region belong to $Z(A_{\mathbf{R}})^{\times}$.

Fix an integer $n > \frac{i}{2} + 1$. The conjecture on $L({}_A H^i(M), n)$ involves the *Beilinson regulator map*

$$r_{\mathbf{B}} : H_{\mathcal{M}/\mathcal{O}_K}^{i+1}(M, E(n)) \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{R} \rightarrow H_{\mathcal{D}}^{i+1}(M, E_{\mathbf{R}}(n)).$$

Let us briefly recall the definitions of the cohomology groups involved. The relevant motivic cohomology group is given by

$$H_{\mathcal{M}}^{i+1}(M, E(n)) = p^*(H_{\mathcal{M}}^{i+1}(X, \mathbf{Q}(n)) \otimes E).$$

where $H_{\mathcal{M}}^{i+1}(X, \mathbf{Q}(n))$ is defined as Quillen's K -group $K_{2n-i-1}^{(n)}(X)$. Let $H_{\mathcal{M}/\mathcal{O}_K}^{i+1}(M, E(n))$ be the subspace of integral elements defined by Scholl [29].

The Deligne cohomology group can be expressed as follows. Let $c \in \text{Gal}(\mathbf{C}/\mathbf{R})$ denote complex conjugation. The isomorphisms $c^* : X_{\sigma}(\mathbf{C}) \xrightarrow{\cong} X_{\bar{\sigma}}(\mathbf{C})$ together with complex conjugation on $\mathbf{Q}(n) = (2\pi i)^n \mathbf{Q}$ induce an A -linear involution $c_B : H_B^i(M(n)) \rightarrow H_B^i(M(n))$, which makes the following diagram commute

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_B^i(M(n)) \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{C} & \xrightarrow{I_{\infty}} & H_{\text{dR}}^i(M(n)) \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{C} \\ c_B \otimes c \downarrow & & \downarrow 1 \otimes c \\ H_B^i(M(n)) \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{C} & \xrightarrow{I_{\infty}} & H_{\text{dR}}^i(M(n)) \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{C}. \end{array}$$

Let $H_B^i(M(n))^{\pm}$ denote the subspace of $H_B^i(M(n))$ where c_B acts by ± 1 . The diagram above induces an isomorphism

$$(9) \quad \begin{aligned} H_{\text{dR}}^i(M(n)) \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{R} &\cong (H_B^i(M(n))^+ \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{R}) \oplus (H_B^i(M(n))^- \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{R}(-1)) \\ &\cong (H_B^i(M(n))^+ \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{R}) \oplus (H_B^i(M(n-1))^+ \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{R}). \end{aligned}$$

The *Deligne period map* is the canonical map

$$\alpha : H_B^i(M(n))^+ \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{R} \rightarrow (H_{\text{dR}}^i(M)/\text{Fil}^n) \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{R}.$$

Since the motive $M(n)$ has weight $i - 2n < 0$, we have

$$\ker(\alpha) \subset (\text{Fil}^n \cap \overline{\text{Fil}}^n) H_B^i(M) \otimes \mathbf{C} = \bigoplus_{\sigma} \bigoplus_{\substack{a+b=i \\ a, b \geq n}} H_{\sigma}^{a,b}(M) = 0$$

so that α is injective. The Deligne cohomology group of M is then given by the cokernel of α :

$$(10) \quad 0 \rightarrow H_B^i(M(n))^+ \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{R} \xrightarrow{\alpha} (H_{\text{dR}}^i(M)/\text{Fil}^n) \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{R} \rightarrow H_{\mathcal{D}}^{i+1}(M, E_{\mathbf{R}}(n)) \rightarrow 0.$$

Conjecture 7 (Beilinson). *The regulator map $r_{\mathbf{B}}$ is an isomorphism.*

Now the idea is that both the domain and codomain of the regulator map carry natural A -structures, and comparing these two A -structures is enough to determine the equivariant L -value up to an element of $Z(A)^\times$. The Deligne period map and the Beilinson regulator map are $A_{\mathbf{R}}$ -linear, and (10) is an exact sequence of $A_{\mathbf{R}}$ -modules. Assuming Conjecture 7, the exact sequence (10) together with $r_{\mathbf{B}}$ yields a canonical element $\vartheta_\infty = \vartheta_\infty(M, i, n)$ in $K_0(A, \mathbf{R})$. We may now formulate the conjecture on the L -value as follows.

Conjecture 8 (Burns-Flach). *Let $n > \frac{i}{2} + 1$ be an integer. We have the following equality in $K_0(A, \mathbf{R})$*

$$(11) \quad \hat{\delta}(L({}_A H^i(M), n)) = \vartheta_\infty(M, i, n).$$

Remark 2. By taking norms down to $E_{\mathbf{R}}$, Conjecture 8 implies Beilinson's conjecture for the classical L -value $L(H^i(M), n) \in E_{\mathbf{R}}^\times$. Note that in the case $A = E = \mathbf{Q}$, we have $K_0(A, \mathbf{R}) \cong \mathbf{R}^\times/\mathbf{Q}^\times$ and (11) is just a restatement of the usual conjecture.

Assuming the meromorphic continuation of the equivariant L -function, we may reformulate Conjecture 8 using L -values at integers to the left of the central point. For this we use a different A -structure in Deligne cohomology. Using (9), we may also express Deligne cohomology as

$$(12) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathrm{Fil}^n H_{\mathrm{dR}}^i(M) \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{R} \rightarrow H_B^i(M(n-1))^+ \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{R} \rightarrow H_{\mathcal{D}}^{i+1}(M, E_{\mathbf{R}}(n)) \rightarrow 0$$

where the first arrow is induced by the projection on the second factor of (9). Assuming Conjecture 7, the exact sequence (12) together with $r_{\mathbf{B}}$ yields a canonical element $\vartheta'_\infty = \vartheta'_\infty(M, i, n)$ in $K_0(A, \mathbf{R})$.

Since A is a semisimple algebra, we have a reduced rank morphism $\mathrm{rr}_A : K_0(A) \rightarrow H^0(\mathrm{Spec} Z(A), \mathbf{Z})$ with values in the group of \mathbf{Z} -valued functions on $\mathrm{Spec} Z(A)$ [5, §2.6, p. 510]. For each embedding σ of E into \mathbf{C} , we have a canonical morphism $\mathrm{Spec} Z(A_\sigma) \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec} Z(A)$, from which we get a morphism $\mathrm{rr}_{A, \sigma} : K_0(A) \rightarrow H^0(\mathrm{Spec} Z(A_\sigma), \mathbf{Z})$.

Conjecture 9 (Burns-Flach). *Let $n > \frac{i}{2} + 1$ be an integer. For any embedding $\sigma : E \hookrightarrow \mathbf{C}$, we have*

$$(13) \quad \mathrm{ord}_{s=1-n} L({}_{A^{\mathrm{op}}} H^{2d-i}(M^*), s)^\sigma = \mathrm{rr}_{A, \sigma}(H_{\mathcal{M}/\mathcal{O}_K}^{i+1}(M, E(n))).$$

Furthermore, let $L^ \in Z(A_{\mathbf{R}})^\times$ denote the leading term of the Taylor expansion of the L -series $L({}_{A^{\mathrm{op}}} H^{2d-i}(M^*), s)$ at $s = 1 - n$, defined componentwise. Then we have*

$$(14) \quad \hat{\delta}(L^*) = \vartheta'_\infty(M, i, n).$$

Remark 3. The reduced rank in (13) depends only on the realization of M in Deligne cohomology if we assume Conjecture 7.

In general these conjectures are out of reach as we cannot prove that the motivic cohomology groups are finite-dimensional. Therefore, one often uses the following weakened conjecture.

Conjecture 10. *There exists an A -submodule W of $H_{\mathcal{M}/\mathcal{O}_K}^{i+1}(M, E(n))$ such that*

$$(15) \quad r_{\mathbf{B}}(W) \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{R} \cong H_{\mathcal{D}}^{i+1}(M, E_{\mathbf{R}}(n)).$$

Furthermore, let $\vartheta_\infty(W)$ (resp. $\vartheta'_\infty(W)$) be the element of $K_0(A, \mathbf{R})$ arising from $r_{\mathbf{B}}(W)$ by means of the exact sequence (10) (resp. (12)). Then we have the equalities

$$(16) \quad \hat{\delta}(L({}_A H^i(M), n)) = \vartheta_\infty(W)$$

$$(17) \quad \hat{\delta}(L^*) = \vartheta'_\infty(W),$$

where $L^ \in Z(A_{\mathbf{R}})^\times$ is defined as in Conjecture 9.*

Remark 4. We may ask for a property which is stronger than (15), namely that $r_{\mathbf{B}}$ induces an isomorphism $W \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{R} \xrightarrow{\cong} H_{\mathcal{D}}^{i+1}(M, E_{\mathbf{R}}(n))$.

Finally, let us spell out the conjecture in the particular case of abelian varieties. Let B be an abelian variety defined over a number field K . Consider the motive with \mathbf{Q} -coefficients $M = H^1(B) = (B, p_1, 0)$, where p_1 the Chow-Künneth projector in degree 1. The usual L -function of B/K is given by $L(B, s) = L(H^1(B), s)$. Let $A = \text{End}_K(B) \otimes \mathbf{Q}$. The semisimple algebra A^{op} acts on M , and we denote by $L({}_A B, s) = L({}_{A^{\text{op}}} H^1(B), s)$ the associated equivariant L -function. It converges for $\Re(s) > \frac{3}{2}$ and takes values in $Z(A_{\mathbf{C}})$. Let B^\vee be the dual abelian variety of B . The Poincaré bundle on $B \times B^\vee$ induces a canonical isomorphism $M^* \cong H^1(B^\vee)(1)$ in $\text{CHM}_K(A)$. The (conjectural) functional equation thus relates $L({}_A B, s)$ and $L({}_{A^{\text{op}}} B^\vee, 2-s)$. Let $n \geq 2$ be an integer. We have isomorphisms

$$H_{\mathcal{D}}^2(M, \mathbf{R}(n)) = H_{\mathcal{D}}^2(B_{\mathbf{R}}, \mathbf{R}(n)) \cong \frac{H_{\text{dR}}^1(B) \otimes \mathbf{R}}{H_B^1(B(\mathbf{C}), \mathbf{R}(n))^+} \cong H_B^1(B(\mathbf{C}), \mathbf{R}(n-1))^+.$$

Let $B \sim \prod_{i=1}^r B_i^{e_i}$ be the decomposition of B into K -simple factors up to isogeny, and let $D_i = \text{End}_K(B_i) \otimes \mathbf{Q}$. We have $A \cong \prod_{i=1}^r M_{e_i}(D_i)$ so that $Z(A) = \prod_{i=1}^r Z(D_i)$. The reduced rank of $H_B^1(B(\mathbf{C}), \mathbf{Q}(n-1))^+$ over A is the function $i \mapsto \dim(B_i)$.

Conjecture 11. *There exists an A^{op} -submodule W of $H_{\mathcal{M}/\mathcal{O}_K}^2(B, \mathbf{Q}(n))$ such that*

$$(18) \quad r_{\mathbf{B}}(W) \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{R} \cong H_{\mathcal{D}}^2(B_{\mathbf{R}}, \mathbf{R}(n)).$$

Furthermore, let $\vartheta_{\infty}(W)$ be the element of $K_0(A^{\text{op}}, \mathbf{R})$ arising from the exact sequence

$$(19) \quad 0 \rightarrow H_B^1(B(\mathbf{C}), \mathbf{Q}(n))^+ \otimes \mathbf{R} \xrightarrow{\alpha} H_{\text{dR}}^1(B) \otimes \mathbf{R} \rightarrow r_{\mathbf{B}}(W) \otimes \mathbf{R} \rightarrow 0,$$

and let $\vartheta'_{\infty}(W)$ be the element of $K_0(A^{\text{op}}, \mathbf{R})$ arising from the isomorphism

$$(20) \quad r_{\mathbf{B}}(W) \otimes \mathbf{R} \xrightarrow{\cong} H_B^1(B(\mathbf{C}), \mathbf{Q}(n-1))^+ \otimes \mathbf{R}.$$

Then we have

$$(21) \quad \hat{\delta}(L({}_A B, n)) = \vartheta_{\infty}(W)$$

$$(22) \quad \hat{\delta}(L^*) = \vartheta'_{\infty}(W),$$

where $L^* \in Z(A_{\mathbf{R}})^{\times}$ denotes the leading term of the Taylor expansion of $L({}_{A^{\text{op}}} B^\vee, s)$ at $s = 2-n$.

1.4. Base changes of Chow motives. If R is any ring and G is any group acting on R by ring automorphisms, the twisted group ring $R\{G\}$ is the free R -module with basis G , endowed with the product

$$\left(\sum_{\sigma \in G} a_{\sigma} \cdot \sigma \right) \left(\sum_{\tau \in G} b_{\tau} \cdot \tau \right) = \sum_{\sigma, \tau \in G} a_{\sigma} b_{\tau} \cdot \sigma\tau.$$

Let L/K be a Galois extension of number fields, with Galois group G . There is a canonical base change functor $\text{CHM}_K(E) \rightarrow \text{CHM}_L(E)$ sending a Chow motive $M = (X, p, n)$ to $M_L = (X_L, p_L, n)$. In particular, we have a canonical morphism of E -algebras $\text{End}_K(M) \rightarrow \text{End}_L(M_L)$. Note that M_L is a Chow motive over L , but we may also consider it as a Chow motive over K .

Lemma 12. *For every $M \in \text{CHM}_K(E)$, there is a canonical isomorphism $\text{End}_K(M_L) \cong \text{End}_L(M_L)\{G\}$.*

Proof. For any smooth projective L -variety Y , we have

$$Y \times_K Y \cong \bigsqcup_{\sigma \in G} Y \times_L Y^{\sigma}$$

where Y^{σ}/L denotes the conjugate variety. Thus we have an isomorphism of abelian groups

$$(23) \quad \text{CH}(Y \times_K Y) \cong \bigoplus_{\sigma \in G} \text{CH}(Y \times_L Y^{\sigma}).$$

Now if $Y = X_L$ is the base change of a smooth projective K -variety X , then $Y^{\sigma} = Y$ so that $\text{CH}(Y \times_K Y)$ is the direct sum of copies of $\text{CH}(Y \times_L Y)$. The ring structure can be described as follows. For any $\sigma \in G$, let $\phi_{\sigma} : X_L \rightarrow X_L$ denote the K -automorphism induced by σ , and let $\Gamma_{\sigma} = \phi_{\sigma}^* \subset X_L \times_K X_L$ denote the transpose of the graph of ϕ_{σ} . We have $\Gamma_{\sigma} \Gamma_{\tau} = \phi_{\sigma}^* \phi_{\tau}^* = (\phi_{\tau} \phi_{\sigma})^* =$

$\phi_{\sigma\tau}^* = \Gamma_{\sigma\tau}$, so we get a group morphism $\Gamma : G \rightarrow \text{Aut}_K(H(X_L))$ where $H(X_L)$ is the total motive of X_L . By (23), we get

$$\text{End}_K(H(X_L)) = \bigoplus_{\sigma \in G} \text{End}_L(H(X_L)) \cdot \Gamma_\sigma = \text{End}_L(H(X_L))\{G\}.$$

For an arbitrary $M = (X, p, n) \in \text{CHM}_K(E)$, the idempotent $p_L \in \text{End}_L(H(X_L)) \subset \text{End}_K(H(X_L))$ commutes with the action of G , so that we get a corresponding decomposition

$$\text{End}_K(M_L) = p_L \text{End}_K(H(X_L)) p_L = (p_L \text{End}_L(H(X_L)) p_L)\{G\} = \text{End}_L(M_L)\{G\}.$$

□

We will also need the following lemma from non-commutative algebra.

Lemma 13. *If A is a semisimple \mathbf{Q} -algebra and G is a finite group acting on A by \mathbf{Q} -automorphisms, then $A\{G\}$ is semisimple.*

Proof. Let M be an arbitrary $A\{G\}$ -module. Let us show that every submodule N of M is a direct factor. Since A is semisimple, there exists an A -linear map $p : M \rightarrow N$ such that $p(x) = x$ for all $x \in N$. Define $p' : M \rightarrow N$ by

$$p' = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{\sigma \in G} \sigma p \sigma^{-1}.$$

It is easy to check that p' is A -linear and commutes with the action of G , so that p' is $A\{G\}$ -linear. Moreover $p'(x) = x$ for all $x \in N$, so that N is a direct factor of M . □

Let B be an abelian variety defined over K , and let $B_L = B \times_{\text{Spec } K} \text{Spec } L$ be its base change to L . Let $A = \text{End}_L(B_L) \otimes \mathbf{Q}$ be the algebra of endomorphisms of B defined over L . By Lemma 12, we have an isomorphism $\text{End}_K(H^1(B_L)) \cong A^{\text{op}}\{G\}$. Note that A and G commute if and only if all endomorphisms of B_L are defined over K . We may consider the equivariant L -function $L_{(A\{G\}B_L, s)}$ and formulate a conjecture on the values $L_{(A\{G\}B_L, n)}$, $n \geq 2$ as in §1.3. Note that this conjecture specializes to a conjecture on all Artin-twisted L -values $L(B \otimes \rho, n)$ for any finite-dimensional complex representation ρ of G and any integer $n \geq 2$.

1.5. Functoriality. In this section we recall functoriality results for the equivariant Beilinson conjecture. Note that all compatibility results below are studied and proved by Burns and Flach in the more general setting of the equivariant Tamagawa number conjecture [5]. In the following results, the « equivariant Beilinson conjecture » means any of the Conjectures 7, 8, 9, 10.

As a first step, the equivariant Beilinson conjecture is clearly compatible with taking direct sums of Chow motives. We next study the behaviour of the conjecture under change of coefficients.

Proposition 14. *Let E, E' be number fields with $E \subset E'$. Let A be a finite-dimensional semisimple E -algebra, and let $A' = A \otimes_E E'$. Let $M = (X, p, 0, \rho) \in \text{CHM}_K(A)$ be a Chow motive, and let $M' = M \otimes_E E' \in \text{CHM}_K(A')$. Let i, n be integers such that $0 \leq i \leq 2 \dim X$ and $n > \frac{i}{2} + 1$. Then the equivariant Beilinson conjecture holds for $L({}_A H^i(M), n)$ if and only if it holds for $L({}_{A'} H^i(M'), n)$.*

Proof. The equivariant L -function of $H^i(M')$ is the image of the equivariant L -function of $H^i(M)$ under the canonical map $Z(A_{\mathbf{C}}) \rightarrow Z(A'_{\mathbf{C}})$. Moreover, the regulator map associated to (M', i, n) is obtained from the regulator map associated to (M, i, n) by tensoring with E' over E . Since the extended boundary map is functorial, we are thus reduced to show that the canonical map $\iota : K_0(A, \mathbf{R}) \rightarrow K_0(A', \mathbf{R})$ is injective. We may assume that A is a central simple algebra over E . We have a commutative diagram

$$(24) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & K_1(A) & \longrightarrow & K_1(A_{\mathbf{R}}) & \xrightarrow{\delta} & K_0(A, \mathbf{R}) \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \iota \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & K_1(A') & \longrightarrow & K_1(A'_{\mathbf{R}}) & \xrightarrow{\delta'} & K_0(A', \mathbf{R}) \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

We may identify all the K_1 -groups with subgroups of $Z(A'_{\mathbf{R}})^{\times}$. Let $x \in K_0(A, \mathbf{R})$ be in the kernel of ι , and let $z \in K_1(A_{\mathbf{R}})$ such that $\delta(z) = x$. Since $Z(A_{\mathbf{R}})^{\times} \cap Z(A')^{\times} = Z(A)^{\times}$, we have $\mathrm{nr}_{\mathbf{R}}(z) \in Z(A)^{\times}$. Looking at the conditions (7) and (8) describing the image of the reduced norm maps, we see that z comes from $K_1(A)$ and thus $x = 0$. \square

Proposition 15. *Let E be a number field, and let $\rho : A \rightarrow B$ be a morphism between finite-dimensional semisimple E -algebras. Let $M = (X, p, 0) \in \mathrm{CHM}_K(B)$ be a Chow motive, and let $\rho^*M \in \mathrm{CHM}_K(A)$ be the motive obtained by restricting the action to A . Let i, n be integers such that $0 \leq i \leq 2 \dim X$ and $n > \frac{i}{2} + 1$. Then the equivariant Beilinson conjecture for $L({}_B H^i(M), n)$ implies the equivariant Beilinson conjecture for $L({}_A H^i(\rho^*M), n)$. Moreover, if ρ is surjective then the converse holds.*

Proof. The map ρ induces an exact functor from the category of finitely generated B -modules to the category of finitely generated A -modules, which in turns induces maps ρ^* on K -groups. Assume the equivariant Beilinson conjecture for $L({}_B H^i(M), n)$. Let ${}_B \vartheta_{\infty}$ be the corresponding element of $K_0(B, \mathbf{R})$, and let ${}_A \vartheta_{\infty} = \rho^*({}_B \vartheta_{\infty})$. By Lemma 6, we have isomorphisms $K_1(A_{\mathbf{C}}) \cong Z(A_{\mathbf{C}})^{\times}$ and $K_1(B_{\mathbf{C}}) \cong Z(B_{\mathbf{C}})^{\times}$. We use these to define a norm map $\rho^* : Z(B_{\mathbf{C}})^{\times} \rightarrow Z(A_{\mathbf{C}})^{\times}$. By construction of the equivariant L -function, we then have $\rho^*(L({}_B H^i(M), s)) = L({}_A H^i(\rho^*M), s)$ (see [5, Thm 4.1]). Taking invariants under $\mathrm{Gal}(\mathbf{C}/\mathbf{R})$, we also have a map $\rho^* : Z(B_{\mathbf{R}})^{\times} \rightarrow Z(A_{\mathbf{R}})^{\times}$, and we are left to show that ρ^* commutes with the extended boundary map, in other words that $\rho^* \circ \hat{\delta}_B = \hat{\delta}_A \circ \rho^*$. This identity is true on $K_1(B_{\mathbf{R}})$ because the boundary map is functorial, and it is true on $Z(B)^{\times}$ because $\rho^*(Z(B)^{\times}) \subset Z(A)^{\times}$.

Assume ρ is surjective. By the discussion above, it suffices to prove that $\rho^* : K_0(B, \mathbf{R}) \rightarrow K_0(A, \mathbf{R})$ is injective. Since A is semisimple, we must have an isomorphism $A \cong B \times B'$ such that ρ becomes the canonical projection. Then $K_0(A, \mathbf{R}) \cong K_0(B, \mathbf{R}) \oplus K_0(B', \mathbf{R})$ and the result is clear. \square

Proposition 16. *Let E be a number field, and let A be a finite-dimensional semisimple E -algebra. Let e be a nonzero idempotent of A , and let $A' = eAe$. Let $M = (X, p, 0) \in \mathrm{CHM}_K(A)$ be a Chow motive, and let i, n be integers such that $0 \leq i \leq 2 \dim X$ and $n > \frac{i}{2} + 1$. If the equivariant Beilinson conjecture holds for $L({}_A H^i(M), n)$, then it holds for $L({}_{A'} H^i(e(M)), n)$.*

Proof. The algebra A' is semisimple (see [2, §9, Exerc. 10d, p. 162]). We have an exact functor e^* sending a finitely generated A -module V to the A' -module $V' = e(V)$. It induces maps $e^* : K_1(A_{\mathbf{R}}) \rightarrow K_1(A'_{\mathbf{R}})$ and $e^* : K_0(A, \mathbf{R}) \rightarrow K_0(A', \mathbf{R})$. Moreover, we have a morphism of E -algebras $e^* : Z(A) \rightarrow Z(A')$ sending x to exe . By definition of the reduced norm map, the diagram

$$(25) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} K_1(A_{\mathbf{R}}) & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{nr}_{\mathbf{R}}} & Z(A_{\mathbf{R}})^{\times} \\ \downarrow e^* & & \downarrow e^* \\ K_1(A'_{\mathbf{R}}) & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{nr}'_{\mathbf{R}}} & Z(A'_{\mathbf{R}})^{\times} \end{array}$$

is commutative. It follows that e^* commutes with the extended boundary maps. By definition of the equivariant L -function [5, §4.1], we have $e^*(L({}_A H^i(M), s)) = L({}_{A'} H^i(e(M)), s)$. Assume the equivariant Beilinson conjecture for $L({}_A H^i(M), n)$, and let ${}_A \vartheta_{\infty}$ be the corresponding element of $K_0(A, \mathbf{R})$. Applying e^* to all objects appearing in the Beilinson regulator map, we see that the element of $K_0(A', \mathbf{R})$ associated to the regulator map for ${}_{A'} H^i(e(M))$ is simply $e^*({}_A \vartheta_{\infty})$. Thus the equivariant conjecture for $L({}_{A'} H^i(e(M)), n)$ holds. \square

2. MODULAR ABELIAN VARIETIES

In this section and §3, we fix a newform $f = \sum_{n \geq 1} a_n q^n$ of weight 2 on $\Gamma_1(N)$ without complex multiplication. Let $K_f \subset \mathbf{C}$ be the number field generated by the Fourier coefficients of f .

Let A_f/\mathbf{Q} be the modular abelian variety attached to f . It is defined as the quotient $J_1(N)/I_f J_1(N)$, where $J_1(N)$ is the Jacobian of the modular curve $X_1(N)$, and I_f is the annihilator of f in the Hecke algebra. There is a natural isomorphism $K_f \cong \mathrm{End}_{\mathbf{Q}}(A_f) \otimes \mathbf{Q}$, which

shows that A_f is simple over \mathbf{Q} . In general, the abelian variety A_f is not absolutely simple. We first recall a standard result on the simple factors of A_f over a given extension of \mathbf{Q} .

Fix a subfield F of $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}$. Let $X = \text{End}_F(A_f) \otimes \mathbf{Q}$ be the endomorphism algebra of $(A_f)_F$. The following theorem was proved by Ribet [25, Thm 5.1] in the case $F = \overline{\mathbf{Q}}$. The general case follows rather easily from this case.

- Theorem 17.** (a) *The center k of X is a subfield of K_f .*
 (b) *The dimension of X over k is $[K_f : k]^2$.*
 (c) *The abelian variety A_f is isogenous over F to the power of a simple abelian variety $B_{f,F}/F$.*
 (d) *The abelian variety $B_{f,F}$ is unique up to F -isogeny. Moreover, if F/\mathbf{Q} is Galois, then $B_{f,F}$ is F -isogenous to all its $\text{Gal}(F/\mathbf{Q})$ -conjugates.*

Proof. Since f doesn't have complex multiplication, the abelian variety $(A_f)_{\overline{\mathbf{Q}}}$ has no abelian subvariety of CM-type. This implies that K_f is its own commutant in $\text{End}_{\overline{\mathbf{Q}}}(A_f) \otimes \mathbf{Q}$ (see the proof of [26, Prop. 5.2]), which proves (a). Now X is a central simple algebra over k , and K_f is a (semisimple) maximal commutative subalgebra of X , so that $[X : k] = [K_f : k]^2$ by [2, §14, N°6, Prop. 3], which proves (b). Moreover k being a field means precisely that A_f is F -isogenous to the power of a simple abelian variety over F , which proves (c). Finally (d) follows from the unicity of decomposition of $(A_f)_F$ into simple factors up to isogeny, together with the fact that A_f is defined over \mathbf{Q} . \square

Remark 5. In the particular case where F/\mathbf{Q} is Galois and $B_{f,F}$ is an elliptic curve, Theorem 17(d) says precisely that $B_{f,F}$ is a \mathbf{Q} -curve completely defined over F in the terminology of [24, p. 286].

It is known that the minimal number field over which all endomorphisms of A_f are defined is a finite abelian extension of \mathbf{Q} [14, Prop. 2.1].

In the following, we fix a finite abelian extension F/\mathbf{Q} . We show that the L -function of $B_{f,F}$ can be expressed as a product of twists of L -functions of conjugates of f . Note that $B_{f,F}$ is defined only up to F -isogeny, but it makes sense to speak of its L -function.

Let V_ℓ be the Tate module of A_f with coefficients in \mathbf{Q}_ℓ . It carries an action of $G_{\mathbf{Q}} = \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbf{Q}}/\mathbf{Q})$. After choosing an isomorphism $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_\ell \cong \mathbf{C}$, we have a decomposition

$$(26) \quad \overline{V}_\ell := V_\ell \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_\ell} \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_\ell \cong \prod_{\sigma: K_f \rightarrow \mathbf{C}} V_{f^\sigma}$$

where V_{f^σ} denotes the 2-dimensional $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_\ell$ -representation of $G_{\mathbf{Q}}$ associated to f^σ . This decomposition is compatible with the action of K_f , where K_f acts on V_{f^σ} through σ . Let $G = \text{Gal}(F/\mathbf{Q})$, and let \hat{G} be the group of complex-valued characters of G . We will identify elements of \hat{G} with Dirichlet characters in the usual way.

Lemma 18. *Let $\sigma, \tau : K_f \hookrightarrow \mathbf{C}$. The following conditions are equivalent :*

- (a) *The restrictions of V_{f^σ} and V_{f^τ} to $G_F = \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbf{Q}}/F)$ are isomorphic.*
- (b) *There exists a character $\chi \in \hat{G}$ such that $f^\tau = f^\sigma \otimes \chi$.*
- (c) *We have $\sigma|_k = \tau|_k$.*

If these conditions are satisfied, then the character χ in (b) is unique.

Proof. See the proof of [25, Thm 4.4]. \square

Consider the equivalence relation on $\text{Hom}(K_f, \mathbf{C})$ given by Lemma 18, namely $\sigma \sim \tau \Leftrightarrow \sigma|_k = \tau|_k$. Fix a system Σ of representatives of $\text{Hom}(K_f, \mathbf{C})/\sim$. We have $|\Sigma| = [k : \mathbf{Q}]$.

Proposition 19. *Let $D = \text{End}_F(B_{f,F}) \otimes \mathbf{Q}$, and let $t = [D : k]^{1/2}$ be the degree of D . We have*

$$(27) \quad L(B_{f,F}/F, s) = \prod_{\sigma \in \Sigma} \prod_{\chi \in \hat{G}} L(f^\sigma \otimes \chi, s)^t.$$

Proof. Write $A_f \sim_F B_{f,F}^n$, so that $X \cong M_n(D)$. By Theorem 17(b), we know that $[K_f : k] = nt$. By Lemma 18, the $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_\ell$ -Tate module of $B_{f,F}$ is isomorphic as a G_F -module to $\prod_{\sigma \in \Sigma} V_{f\sigma}^t$. For a given embedding $\sigma : K_f \hookrightarrow \mathbf{C}$, we have

$$\mathrm{Ind}_{G_F}^{G_{\mathbf{Q}}} (V_{f\sigma}|_{G_F}) \cong \bigoplus_{\chi \in \hat{G}} V_{f\sigma} \otimes \chi.$$

Taking L -functions of both sides, and using Artin formalism, we get

$$L(V_{f\sigma}|_{G_F}, s) = \prod_{\chi \in \hat{G}} L(f^\sigma \otimes \chi, s).$$

The formula for $L(B_{f,F}/F, s)$ follows. □

Conversely, we have the following result by Guitart and Quer [16, 15].

Theorem 20. *Let A be an abelian variety over a number field F such that $L(A/F, s)$ is a product of L -functions of newforms of weight 2 without complex multiplication. Then the extension F/\mathbf{Q} is abelian, and there exist newforms f_1, \dots, f_r of weight 2 without complex multiplication such that A is F -isogenous to $B_{f_1,F} \times \dots \times B_{f_r,F}$.*

Proof. Let $B = \mathrm{Res}_{F/\mathbf{Q}} A$ be the restriction of scalars of A . Let f_1, \dots, f_r be newforms of weight 2 such that $L(A/F, s) = L(B/\mathbf{Q}, s) = L(f_1, s) \cdots L(f_r, s)$. By the proof of [16, Prop 2.3], the abelian variety B is \mathbf{Q} -isogenous to $A_{f_1}^{n_1} \times \dots \times A_{f_r}^{n_r}$ for some integers $n_1, \dots, n_r \geq 0$, and by the proof of [15, Prop 2.2], the extension F/\mathbf{Q} is abelian. Let C be a F -simple factor of A . The abelian variety $D = \mathrm{Res}_{F/\mathbf{Q}} C$ is a factor of B , thus is also \mathbf{Q} -isogenous to $A_{f_1}^{m_1} \times \dots \times A_{f_r}^{m_r}$ for some integers $0 \leq m_i \leq n_i$. Moreover C is a F -simple factor of D_F and thus a factor of $(A_f)_F$ for some newform f without CM. □

The abelian varieties whose L -functions are products of L -functions of newforms of weight 2 are called *strongly modular* in [16]. By Theorem 20, every non-CM strongly modular abelian variety over a number field is a \mathbf{Q} -variety, in the sense that it is isogenous to all its Galois conjugates. In the particular case of elliptic curves, this gives the following result.

Corollary 21. *Let E be an elliptic curve without complex multiplication over a number field F such that $L(E/F, s)$ is a product of L -functions of newforms of weight 2. Then the extension F/\mathbf{Q} is abelian, and there exist a newform f of weight 2 without complex multiplication such that E is F -isogenous to $B_{f,F}$. In particular E is a \mathbf{Q} -curve completely defined over F .*

Remark 6. As was pointed out to me by Xavier Guitart, Theorem 20 and Corollary 21 do not hold in the CM case. As an example, let $K = \mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{-23})$ and let H be the Hilbert class field of K . Let E be a \mathbf{Q} -curve over H with complex multiplication by K . Then E can be defined over the cubic number field $\mathbf{Q}(j(E))$. By a result of Nakamura [22, §5, Thm 3], the restriction of scalars $B = \mathrm{Res}_{\mathbf{Q}(j(E))/\mathbf{Q}} E$ is an abelian variety of GL_2 -type, thus E is strongly modular, but the extension $\mathbf{Q}(j(E))/\mathbf{Q}$ is not Galois.

It was predicted by Serre that the \mathbf{Q} -curves are precisely the elliptic curves which arise as quotients of $J_1(N)$ over \mathbf{Q} . This is now a theorem thanks to the work of Ribet [26] and the proof of Serre's modularity conjecture due to Khare-Wintenberger (see [18, Thm 7.2]). It follows that every \mathbf{Q} -curve $E/\overline{\mathbf{Q}}$ is isogenous over $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}$ to $B_{f,\overline{\mathbf{Q}}}$ for some newform f of weight 2. It seems an interesting question to determine a minimal field of definition for this isogeny in terms of the arithmetic of E . By Corollary 21, every non-CM strongly modular \mathbf{Q} -curve E/F is completely defined over F . The converse is not true, even if F/\mathbf{Q} is abelian : see the introduction of [16] for a counterexample with $F = \mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{-2}, \sqrt{-3})$. However, if F is a quadratic field, then every non-CM \mathbf{Q} -curve completely defined over F is strongly modular, so that our results will apply to these \mathbf{Q} -curves. In the general case, necessary and sufficient conditions for strong modularity in terms of splittings of 2-cocycles are worked out in [16, Thm 5.3, Thm 5.4].

3. MODULAR CURVES IN THE ADELIC SETTING

3.1. Notations and standard results. Let us recall the notations of [4, §4]. Let \mathbf{A}_f be the ring of finite adèles of \mathbf{Q} . To any compact open subgroup K of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{A}_f)$ is associated a smooth projective modular curve \overline{M}_K over \mathbf{Q} , whose set of complex points $\overline{M}_K(\mathbf{C})$ is the compactification of the Riemann surface $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{Q}) \backslash (\mathfrak{h}^\pm \times \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{A}_f)) / K$. There are natural projections $\pi_{K',K} : \overline{M}_{K'} \rightarrow \overline{M}_K$ for any compact open subgroups $K' \subset K$ of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{A}_f)$. For any $g \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{A}_f)$, there is a canonical isomorphism $g : \overline{M}_K \xrightarrow{\cong} \overline{M}_{g^{-1}Kg}$, given at the level of complex points by $(\tau, h) \mapsto (\tau, hg)$.

The Hecke algebra $\tilde{\mathbf{T}}_K$ is the space of functions $K \backslash \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{A}_f) / K \rightarrow \mathbf{Q}$ with finite support, equipped with the convolution product [6]. We may identify $\tilde{\mathbf{T}}_K$ with its image in the \mathbf{Q} -algebra of finite correspondences on \overline{M}_K by sending the characteristic function of KgK to the correspondence $\tilde{T}(g) = \tilde{T}(g)_K$ defined by the diagram

$$(28) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & \overline{M}_{K \cap g^{-1}Kg} & \\ \pi \swarrow & & \searrow \pi' \\ \overline{M}_K & \xrightarrow{\tilde{T}(g)} & \overline{M}_K \end{array}$$

where $\pi = \pi_{K \cap g^{-1}Kg, K}$ and $\pi' = \pi_{gKg^{-1} \cap K, K} \circ g^{-1}$.

The space $\Omega^1(\overline{M}_K)$ carries a natural structure of left $\tilde{\mathbf{T}}_K$ -module, and we denote by \mathbf{T}_K the image of $\tilde{\mathbf{T}}_K$ in $\mathrm{End}_{\mathbf{Q}}(\Omega^1(\overline{M}_K))$. We denote by $T(g) = T(g)_K$ the canonical image of $\tilde{T}(g)$ in \mathbf{T}_K . Using notations of (28), we have $T(g) = \pi'_* \circ \pi^*$.

The ring \mathbf{T}_K also acts from the left on $H^1(\overline{M}_K(\mathbf{C}), \mathbf{Q})$, and this action factors through \mathbf{T}_K . In fact, Poincaré duality induces a perfect bilinear pairing

$$(29) \quad \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : H^1(\overline{M}_K(\mathbf{C}), \mathbf{R})^- \times (\Omega^1(\overline{M}_K) \otimes \mathbf{R}) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$$

satisfying $\langle \tilde{T}(g)\eta, \omega \rangle = \langle \eta, \tilde{T}(g^{-1})\omega \rangle$ for every $g \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{A}_f)$, $\eta \in H^1(\overline{M}_K(\mathbf{C}), \mathbf{R})^-$ and $\omega \in \Omega^1(\overline{M}_K) \otimes \mathbf{R}$.

Let us define $\Omega = \varinjlim_K \Omega^1(\overline{M}_K) \otimes \overline{\mathbf{Q}}$, where the direct limit is taken with respect to the pull-back maps $\pi_{K',K}^*$. This space carries a natural $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{A}_f)$ -action, and for any K we have $\Omega^K = \Omega^1(\overline{M}_K) \otimes \overline{\mathbf{Q}}$. The space Ω decomposes as a direct sum of irreducible admissible representations $\Omega(\pi)$ of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{A}_f)$. Let $\Pi(K)$ be the set of those representations π satisfying $\Omega(\pi)^K \neq \{0\}$. We have a direct sum decomposition

$$(30) \quad \Omega^1(\overline{M}_K) \otimes \overline{\mathbf{Q}} = \bigoplus_{\pi \in \Pi(K)} \Omega(\pi)^K$$

where the $\Omega(\pi)^K$ are pairwise non-isomorphic simple $\mathbf{T}_K \otimes \overline{\mathbf{Q}}$ -modules [19, p. 393].

Lemma 22. *The natural map*

$$\mathbf{T}_K \otimes \overline{\mathbf{Q}} \rightarrow \prod_{\pi \in \Pi(K)} \mathrm{End}_{\overline{\mathbf{Q}}}(\Omega(\pi)^K)$$

is an isomorphism. In particular \mathbf{T}_K is a semisimple algebra.

Proof. The above map is injective by definition of \mathbf{T}_K . The surjectivity follows from Burnside's Theorem [2, §5, N°3, Cor. 1 of Prop. 4, p. 79]. The algebra $\mathbf{T}_K \otimes \overline{\mathbf{Q}}$, being a product of matrix algebras over $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}$, is semisimple. This implies that \mathbf{T}_K is semisimple [2, §12, N°7, Cor. 2 a), p. 218]. \square

As a consequence of Lemma 22, note that for each $\pi \in \Pi(K)$, the center $Z(\mathbf{T}_K)$ acts on $\Omega(\pi)^K$ through a character $\theta_{\pi,K} : Z(\mathbf{T}_K) \rightarrow \overline{\mathbf{Q}}$.

Let p be a prime number, and let ϖ_p be the element of \mathbf{A}_f^\times whose component at p is equal to p , and whose other components are equal to 1. The Hecke operator $\tilde{T}(p) = \tilde{T}(p)_K \in \tilde{\mathbf{T}}_K$ is

defined as the characteristic function of the double coset $K \begin{pmatrix} \varpi_p & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} K$, and the Hecke operator $\tilde{T}(p, p) = \tilde{T}(p, p)_K \in \tilde{\mathbf{T}}_K$ is defined as the characteristic function of $K \begin{pmatrix} \varpi_p & 0 \\ 0 & \varpi_p \end{pmatrix} K$. We let $T(p) = T(p)_K$ and $T(p, p) = T(p, p)_K$ be their respective images in \mathbf{T}_K . If p doesn't divide the level of K , meaning that K contains $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{Z}_p)$ (this happens for all but finitely many p), then $\tilde{T}(p)$ and $\tilde{T}(p, p)$ belong to the center of $\tilde{\mathbf{T}}_K$. In this case $T(p)$ and $T(p, p)$ act by scalar multiplication on each $\Omega(\pi)^K$.

3.2. Base changes of Hecke correspondences. In this subsection, we assume that $\det(K) = \hat{\mathbf{Z}}^\times$, which means that \overline{M}_K is geometrically connected.

Let F be a finite abelian extension of \mathbf{Q} , with Galois group $G = \mathrm{Gal}(F/\mathbf{Q})$. Let U_F be the subgroup of $\hat{\mathbf{Z}}^\times$ corresponding to F by abelian class field theory. We have an isomorphism $\hat{\mathbf{Z}}^\times/U_F \cong G$. Let us define

$$K_F = \{g \in K : \det(g) \in U_F\}.$$

The determinant map induces an isomorphism $K/K_F \cong G$. The modular curve \overline{M}_{K_F} is canonically isomorphic to the base change $\overline{M}_K \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} F$. The group G acts on the right on $\mathrm{Spec} F$ and \overline{M}_{K_F} . This induces a left action of G on $\Omega^1(\overline{M}_{K_F})$. The action of an element $\sigma \in G$ on $\Omega^1(\overline{M}_{K_F})$ coincides with $T(g)_{K_F}$, where g is any representative of σ in K .

Let $\delta : \overline{M}_{K_F} \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec} F$ be the structural morphism. Let $T = (X, \alpha, \beta)$ be a finite correspondence on \overline{M}_{K_F} , defined by the diagram

$$(31) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & X & \\ \alpha \swarrow & & \searrow \beta \\ \overline{M}_{K_F} & \overset{T}{\dashrightarrow} & \overline{M}_{K_F} \end{array}$$

There exists a unique element $\sigma \in G$ such that $\delta \circ \beta = \sigma^* \circ \delta \circ \alpha$. We say that T is *defined over* F if $\sigma = \mathrm{id}_G$, which amounts to say that $\delta \circ \alpha = \delta \circ \beta$.

Lemma 23. *Let $g \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{A}_f)$. The correspondence $\tilde{T}(g)$ on \overline{M}_{K_F} is defined over F if and only if $\det(g) \in \mathbf{Q}_{>0} \cdot U_F$.*

We denote by $\tilde{\mathbf{T}}'_{K_F}$ the subalgebra of $\tilde{\mathbf{T}}_{K_F}$ generated by those correspondences $\tilde{T}(g)_{K_F}$ which are defined over F . Let \mathbf{T}'_{K_F} be the canonical image of $\tilde{\mathbf{T}}'_{K_F}$ in \mathbf{T}_{K_F} . The elements of \mathbf{T}'_{K_F} are precisely those elements of \mathbf{T}_{K_F} which are F -linear endomorphisms of $\Omega^1(\overline{M}_{K_F}) \cong \Omega^1(\overline{M}_K) \otimes F$, and we have an isomorphism

$$(32) \quad \mathbf{T}_{K_F} = \mathbf{T}'_{K_F} \{G\}.$$

We now restrict to the case

$$K = K_1(N) = \left\{ g \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\hat{\mathbf{Z}}) : g \equiv \begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \pmod{N} \right\}.$$

The associated modular curves are $\overline{M}_{K_1(N)} = X_1(N)$ and $\overline{M}_{K_1(N)_F} = X_1(N)_F$.

Let us recall the relation between Hecke operators on $X_1(N)$ and $X_1(N)_F$. Define the base change morphism $\nu_F : \mathrm{End}_{\mathbf{Q}}(\Omega^1(X_1(N))) \rightarrow \mathrm{End}_F(\Omega^1(X_1(N)) \otimes F)$ by $\nu_F(T) = T \otimes \mathrm{id}_F$. Fix an integer $m \geq 1$ such that $F \subset \mathbf{Q}(\zeta_m)$. For any element $\alpha \in (\mathbf{Z}/m\mathbf{Z})^\times$, let σ_α denote its canonical image in G .

The following lemma was proved in [4, Lemma 13].

Lemma 24. *For any prime p not dividing Nm , we have*

$$(33) \quad \nu_F(T(p)_{K_1(N)}) = T(p)_{K_1(N)_F} \cdot \sigma_p$$

$$(34) \quad \nu_F(T(p, p)_{K_1(N)}) = T(p, p)_{K_1(N)_F} \cdot \sigma_p^2.$$

Now let f be a newform of weight 2 on $\Gamma_1(N)$. Fix an embedding $\sigma : K_f \hookrightarrow \mathbf{C}$ and a character $\chi \in \hat{G}$, and let $\pi(f^\sigma \otimes \chi)$ be the automorphic representation of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{A}_f)$ associated to the newform $f^\sigma \otimes \chi$. We have $\pi(f^\sigma \otimes \chi) \cong \pi(f^\sigma) \otimes (\tilde{\chi} \circ \det)$, where $\tilde{\chi} : \mathbf{A}_f^\times / \mathbf{Q}_{>0} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^\times$ denotes the adèlization of χ , sending ϖ_p to $\chi(p)$ for every prime p not dividing m . Since $\pi(f^\sigma) \in \Pi(K_1(N))$, we have $\pi(f^\sigma \otimes \chi) \in \Pi(K_1(N)_F)$.

The following lemma was proved in [4, Lemma 15].

Lemma 25. *Let $\sigma : K_f \hookrightarrow \mathbf{C}$ and $\chi \in \hat{G}$. For any prime p not dividing Nm , the operator $T(p)_{K_1(N)_F}$ (resp. $T(p,p)_{K_1(N)_F}$) acts as $\sigma(a_p)\chi(p)$ (resp. $\chi(p)^2$) on $\Omega(\pi(f^\sigma \otimes \chi))^{K_1(N)_F}$.*

3.3. Modularity of endomorphism algebras. In this section, we show that every endomorphism of A_f defined over an abelian extension of \mathbf{Q} is of automorphic origin. This is the main technical ingredient in order to apply Beilinson's theorem on modular curves. That all endomorphisms of A_f over $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}$ are modular was proved by Ribet [25] using a construction of Shimura [30] (see also the work of Momose [20], Brown-Ghate [3], Ghate-González-Jiménez-Quer [12], González-Lario [14]). Our approach is different in that we study endomorphisms defined over a given abelian extension of \mathbf{Q} . Moreover, our statement and proof are completely automorphic and don't involve explicit computation of Hecke operators.

In this section, we fix a finite abelian extension F of \mathbf{Q} . Let $\Omega_{N,F} = \Omega^1(X_1(N)_F) \cong \Omega^1(X_1(N))_F$. In order to ease notations, let $\mathbf{T}_{N,F} = \mathbf{T}_{K_1(N)_F} \subset \mathrm{End}_{\mathbf{Q}}(\Omega_{N,F})$ and $\mathbf{T}'_{N,F} = \mathbf{T}'_{K_1(N)_F} \subset \mathrm{End}_F(\Omega_{N,F})$. By (32) we have an isomorphism $\mathbf{T}_{N,F} \cong \mathbf{T}'_{N,F}\{G\}$.

Lemma 26. *There is a commutative diagram*

$$(35) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} \mathbf{T}'_{N,F} & \xrightarrow{\rho'} & \mathrm{End}_F(J_1(N))^{\mathrm{op}} \otimes \mathbf{Q} & \hookrightarrow & \mathrm{End}_F(\Omega_{N,F}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathbf{T}_{N,F} & \xrightarrow{\rho} & \mathrm{End}_F(J_1(N))^{\mathrm{op}} \otimes \mathbf{Q}\{G\} & \hookrightarrow & \mathrm{End}_{\mathbf{Q}}(\Omega_{N,F}) \end{array}$$

such that for any $T \in \mathbf{T}'_{N,F}$, we have $\rho'(T)^* = T$ and for any $\sigma \in G$, we have $\rho(\sigma) = \sigma$.

Proof. The cotangent space of $J_1(N)_F$ at the origin is given by $\Omega^1(J_1(N))_F$ and can be identified canonically with $\Omega_{N,F}$. We define the map $\mathrm{End}_F(J_1(N)) \rightarrow \mathrm{End}_F(\Omega_{N,F})$ by sending an endomorphism φ of $J_1(N)_F$ to its cotangent map $\mathrm{Cot}(\varphi)$ at the origin. If \tilde{T} is a finite correspondence on $X_1(N)_F$ defined over F , and T is the canonical image of \tilde{T} in $\mathrm{End}_F(\Omega_{N,F})$, then by definition of the Jacobian variety, there is a unique endomorphism $\varphi(\tilde{T}) \in \mathrm{End}_F(J_1(N)) \otimes \mathbf{Q}$ such that the $\mathrm{Cot}(\varphi(\tilde{T})) = T$. In particular, the restriction of the map $\tilde{T} \mapsto \varphi(\tilde{T})$ to $\mathbf{T}'_{N,F}$ factors through $\mathbf{T}'_{N,F}$. This defines the map ρ' of (35). We define ρ by extending linearly ρ' using $\mathbf{T}_{N,F} \cong \mathbf{T}'_{N,F}\{G\}$. \square

We next give a criterion for an endomorphism of $J_1(N)$ to induce an endomorphism of A_f . Let $\pi : J_1(N) \rightarrow A_f$ denote the canonical projection, and let $\pi_F : J_1(N)_F \rightarrow (A_f)_F$ be its base change to F . Let $\Omega_{f,F} = \Omega^1(A_f)_F$. We may and will identify $\Omega_{f,F}$ with its image in $\Omega_{N,F}$ by means of the canonical injection $\pi_F^* : \Omega^1(A_f)_F \rightarrow \Omega^1(J_1(N))_F$. The following result is classical.

Lemma 27. *Let T be an element of $\mathbf{T}'_{N,F}$. Then $\rho'(T)$ induces an element of $\mathrm{End}_F(A_f) \otimes \mathbf{Q}$ if and only if T leaves stable $\Omega_{f,F}$.*

Proof. Since $A_f = J_1(N)/I_f J_1(N)$, we have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathrm{Lie}(I_f J_1(N)) \rightarrow \mathrm{Lie}(J_1(N)) \rightarrow \mathrm{Lie}(A_f) \rightarrow 0.$$

Base changing to F , we get an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathrm{Lie}(I_f J_1(N))_F \rightarrow \mathrm{Lie}(J_1(N))_F \rightarrow \mathrm{Lie}(A_f)_F \rightarrow 0.$$

The dual exact sequence is

$$0 \rightarrow \Omega_{f,F} \rightarrow \Omega_{N,F} \rightarrow \Omega^1(I_f J_1(N))_F \rightarrow 0.$$

Let $D \in \text{End}_F(\text{Lie}(J_1(N))_F)$ be the differential of $\rho'(T)$ at the origin. The operators T and D are dual to each other. Then $\rho'(T)$ induces an endomorphism of $(A_f)_F$ if and only if D leaves stable $\text{Lie}(I_f J_1(N))_F$, which means exactly that T leaves stable $\Omega_{f,F}$. \square

As a next step, we determine how A_f interacts with the Hecke algebra. Fix an embedding of $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}$ into \mathbf{C} . For any $\sigma : K_f \hookrightarrow \mathbf{C}$, the differential form $\omega_{f\sigma} = 2\pi i f^\sigma(z) dz$ defines an element of $\Omega^1(X_1(N)) \otimes \overline{\mathbf{Q}}$, and the elements $(\omega_{f\sigma})_{\sigma:K_f \hookrightarrow \mathbf{C}}$ form a $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}$ -basis of $\Omega^1(A_f) \otimes \overline{\mathbf{Q}}$. By the normal basis theorem, the $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}$ -vector space $F \otimes \overline{\mathbf{Q}}$ splits into $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}$ -lines $(L_\chi)_{\chi \in \hat{G}}$ such that $\sigma \in G$ acts as $\overline{\chi}(\sigma)$ on L_χ .

Proposition 28. *We have a direct sum decomposition*

$$(36) \quad \Omega_{f,F} \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \overline{\mathbf{Q}} = \bigoplus_{\substack{\sigma:K_f \hookrightarrow \mathbf{C} \\ \chi \in \hat{G}}} \omega_{f\sigma} \cdot L_\chi$$

and for every $\sigma : K_f \hookrightarrow \mathbf{C}$ and $\chi \in \hat{G}$, we have $\omega_{f\sigma} \cdot L_\chi \subset \Omega(\pi(f^\sigma \otimes \chi))$.

Proof. The decomposition (36) follows from the equality $\Omega_{f,F} \otimes \overline{\mathbf{Q}} = \Omega^1(A_f) \otimes F \otimes \overline{\mathbf{Q}}$. Let $L = \omega_{f\sigma} \cdot L_\chi$. Let p be a prime not dividing Nm . We know that $T(p)_{X_1(N)}(\omega_{f\sigma}) = \sigma(a_p)\omega_{f\sigma}$. It follows that $\nu_F(T(p)_{X_1(N)})$ acts as $\sigma(a_p)$ on L . Moreover σ_p acts as $\overline{\chi}(p)$ on L . By Lemma 24, we deduce that $T(p)_{X_1(N)_F}$ acts as $\sigma(a_p)\chi(p)$ on L . Similarly $T(p,p)_{X_1(N)_F}$ acts as $\chi(p)^2$ on L . The result now follows from Lemma 25 together with the multiplicity one theorems [23]. \square

Proposition 29. *There exists an idempotent $e_f \in \mathbf{T}_{N,F}$ whose image is precisely $\Omega_{f,F}$.*

Proof. By Galois descent, it is sufficient to prove the existence of an idempotent $e_f \in \mathbf{T}_{N,F} \otimes \overline{\mathbf{Q}}$ whose image is $\Omega_{f,F} \otimes \overline{\mathbf{Q}}$. This follows from Lemma 22 and Proposition 28. \square

Remark 7. Let $\iota : I_f J_1(N) \rightarrow J_1(N)$ be the canonical inclusion and consider the dual map $\iota^\vee : J_1(N) = J_1(N)^\vee \rightarrow (I_f J_1(N))^\vee$. Since the map $(\pi, \iota^\vee) : J_1(N) \rightarrow A_f \times (I_f J_1(N))^\vee$ is an isogeny, there exists a canonical projector $e_f^{\text{can}} \in \text{End}_{\mathbf{Q}}(J_1(N)) \otimes \mathbf{Q}$ with image A_f . It seems reasonable to hope that e_f^{can} belongs to the image of ρ' in diagram (35), but I haven't tried to prove this.

Now, let us consider the semisimple algebra $\mathbf{T}_{f,F} = e_f \mathbf{T}_{N,F} e_f$. It leaves stable $\Omega_{f,F}$, so that by Lemma 27, we have an induced map $\rho_f : \mathbf{T}_{f,F} \rightarrow \text{End}_F(A_f)^{\text{op}} \otimes \mathbf{Q}\{G\}$.

Theorem 30. *Assume f doesn't have CM. Then the map $\rho_f : \mathbf{T}_{f,F} \rightarrow \text{End}_F(A_f)^{\text{op}} \otimes \mathbf{Q}\{G\}$ is bijective. In particular, every endomorphism of A_f defined over F arises from $\mathbf{T}_{N,F}$.*

Proof. Since $\mathbf{T}_{f,F}$ embeds in $\text{End}_{\mathbf{Q}}(\Omega_{f,F})$, the map ρ_f is injective. Let us prove that ρ_f is surjective. Let \mathcal{F} be the set of newforms $f^\sigma \otimes \chi$ with $\sigma : K_f \hookrightarrow \mathbf{C}$ and $\chi \in \hat{G}$. For any $g \in \mathcal{F}$, let

$$(37) \quad \Omega_{f,F}[g] = (\Omega_{f,F} \otimes \overline{\mathbf{Q}}) \cap \Omega(\pi(g))$$

denote the g -eigenspace of $\Omega_{f,F}$. By Proposition 28, we have direct sum decompositions

$$(38) \quad \Omega_{f,F} \otimes \overline{\mathbf{Q}} = \bigoplus_{g \in \mathcal{F}} \Omega_{f,F}[g],$$

$$(39) \quad \Omega_{f,F}[g] = \bigoplus_{\substack{\sigma, \chi \\ f^\sigma \otimes \chi = g}} \omega_{f\sigma} \cdot L_\chi.$$

By Lemma 18 and since f doesn't have CM, we have $|\mathcal{F}| = |\Sigma| \cdot |\hat{G}| = [k : \mathbf{Q}] \cdot [F : \mathbf{Q}]$, and $\dim_{\overline{\mathbf{Q}}} \Omega_{f,F}[g] = [K_f : k]$ for every $g \in \mathcal{F}$, using notations from §2. By Lemma 22, the map

$$(40) \quad \mathbf{T}_{f,F} \otimes \overline{\mathbf{Q}} \rightarrow \prod_{g \in \mathcal{F}} \text{End}_{\overline{\mathbf{Q}}} \Omega_{f,F}[g]$$

is bijective. It follows that the rank of ρ_f is

$$\sum_{g \in \mathcal{F}} (\dim_{\overline{\mathbf{Q}}} \Omega_{f,F}[g])^2 = [k : \mathbf{Q}] \cdot [F : \mathbf{Q}] \cdot [K_f : k]^2$$

which agrees with the dimension of $\text{End}_F(A_f) \otimes \mathbf{Q}\{G\}$ given by Theorem 17. \square

Remark 8. It is well-known that $X_f = \text{End}_{\overline{\mathbf{Q}}}(A_f) \otimes \mathbf{Q}$ is a crossed product algebra containing the Hecke field K_f as a maximal commutative subalgebra [25, Thm 5.1]. In fact, if k_f denotes the center of X_f , then X_f is a vector space of dimension $[K_f : k_f]$ over K_f , with an explicit K_f -basis of endomorphisms induced by the inner twists of f [25, §5]. It would be interesting to express these endomorphisms in terms of ρ_f .

4. PROOFS OF THE MAIN RESULTS

Let us first recall Beilinson's theorem on modular curves [1]. Let K be a compact open subgroup of $\text{GL}_2(\mathbf{A}_f)$. For every $\pi \in \Pi(K)$, let $L(\pi, s)$ denote the Jacquet-Langlands L -function of π , with values in $\overline{\mathbf{Q}} \otimes \mathbf{C}$, and shifted by $\frac{1}{2}$ so that the functional equation corresponds to $s \leftrightarrow 2 - s$. Note that $L(\pi, s)$ actually takes values in $E(\pi) \otimes \mathbf{C}$, where $E(\pi) \subset \overline{\mathbf{Q}}$ is the number field generated by the values of the character $\theta_{\pi, K} : Z(\mathbf{T}_K) \rightarrow \overline{\mathbf{Q}}$. If f is a newform of weight 2 with Fourier coefficients in $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}$ and $\pi(f)$ is the automorphic representation of $\text{GL}_2(\mathbf{A}_f)$ associated to f , then we have $L(\pi(f), s)^\sigma = L(f^\sigma, s)$ for every embedding $\sigma : \overline{\mathbf{Q}} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{C}$. The functional equation implies that the L -function $L(\pi, s)$ has a simple zero at each integer $m \leq 0$, with $L'(\pi, m) \in (E(\pi) \otimes \mathbf{R})^\times$. Fix an integer $n \geq 2$. We have an isomorphism

$$(41) \quad H_D^2(\overline{M}_K/\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{R}(n)) \cong H_B^1(\overline{M}_K(\mathbf{C}), \mathbf{R}(n-1))^+.$$

The Betti cohomology group decomposes with respect to the action of the Hecke algebra:

$$(42) \quad H_B^1(\overline{M}_K(\mathbf{C}), \mathbf{Q}(n-1))^+ \otimes \overline{\mathbf{Q}} = \bigoplus_{\pi \in \Pi(K)} H(\pi)$$

where $H(\pi)$ is the subspace cut out by the character $\theta_{\pi, K}$ acting on $\Omega(\pi)^K$.

Beilinson constructs a subspace $W_n \subset H_{\mathcal{M}}^2(\overline{M}_K, \mathbf{Q}(n))$ with the following property.

Theorem (Beilinson, [1] Thm 1.3). *Let $R = r_B(W_n) \subset H_B^1(\overline{M}_K(\mathbf{C}), \mathbf{R}(n-1))^+$. We have a direct sum decomposition $R \otimes \overline{\mathbf{Q}} = \bigoplus_{\pi \in \Pi(K)} R(\pi)$ with $R(\pi) = L'(\pi, 2-n) \cdot H(\pi)$ inside $H(\pi) \otimes \mathbf{R}$.*

Remark 9. The localization sequence in K -theory implies that $H_{\mathcal{M}/\mathbf{Z}}^2(\overline{M}_K, \mathbf{Q}(n)) = H_{\mathcal{M}}^2(\overline{M}_K, \mathbf{Q}(n))$ for any $n \geq 3$. In the case $n = 2$, Schappacher and Scholl [27, Thm 1.1.2(iii)] later proved that $W_2 \subset H_{\mathcal{M}/\mathbf{Z}}^2(\overline{M}_K, \mathbf{Q}(2))$.

Let us now reformulate Beilinson's theorem using the equivariant formalism of §1. The Hecke algebra \mathbf{T}_K acts on the Chow motive $H^1(\overline{M}_K)(n)$, thereby defining an element of $\text{CHM}_{\mathbf{Q}}(\mathbf{T}_K)$. The following result is probably well-known to the experts, but doesn't seem to appear in the literature.

Theorem 31 (Equivariant version of Beilinson's theorem). *Conjecture 10 holds for the equivariant L -value $L(\mathbf{T}_K H^1(\overline{M}_K), n)$.*

Proof. By Proposition 14, it suffices to prove that for some number field E , Conjecture 10 holds for $L(A_M, n)$ where $M = H^1(\overline{M}_K) \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} E$ and $A = \mathbf{T}_K \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} E$. Let $E \subset \overline{\mathbf{Q}}$ be the number field generated by the (finitely many) fields $E(\pi)$ with $\pi \in \Pi(K)$. Note that for every such representation π , the space $\Omega(\pi)^K$ as a natural E -structure $\Omega(\pi)_E^K$, and that the character $\theta_{\pi, K}$ takes values in E . We have a direct sum decomposition $M = \bigoplus_{\pi \in \Pi(K)} M(\pi)$ in $\text{CHM}_{\mathbf{Q}}(A)$, where the structural morphism $A \rightarrow \text{End}(M(\pi))$ factors through $A_\pi := \text{End}_E(\Omega(\pi)_E^K)$ (see Lemma 22). Moreover $L(A_\pi M(\pi), s) = L(\pi, s)$ in $E \otimes \mathbf{C}$. By Proposition 15, it suffices to establish Conjecture 10 for $L(A_\pi M(\pi), n)$.

By construction, the Beilinson subspace W_n is stable under \mathbf{T}_K . For any $\pi \in \Pi(K)$, let $W_n(\pi)$ be the subspace of $W_n \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} E$ cut out by the character $\theta_{\pi, K}$. We may identify $W_n(\pi)$ with a subspace of $H_{\mathcal{M}/\mathbf{Z}}^2(M(\pi), E(n))$. Since the Beilinson regulator map is \mathbf{T}_K -equivariant, we have $r_B(W_n(\pi)) \otimes_E \overline{\mathbf{Q}} = R(\pi)$. Note that $H(\pi)$ has a natural E -structure $H(\pi)_E$ and that $L'(\pi, 2-n) \in (E \otimes \mathbf{R})^\times$. By Beilinson's theorem, we have $R(\pi) = L'(\pi, 2-n) \cdot H(\pi)$ and this implies $r_B(W_n(\pi)) = L'(\pi, 2-n) \cdot H(\pi)_E$. This means precisely that the element $\vartheta_\infty(W_n(\pi))$ of $K_0(A_\pi, \mathbf{R})$ is given by $\hat{\delta}(L'(\pi, 2-n))$. \square

Theorem 32. *Let f be a newform of weight 2 without complex multiplication, and let F be a finite abelian extension of \mathbf{Q} . Let $X = \text{End}_F(A_f) \otimes \mathbf{Q}$ and $G = \text{Gal}(F/\mathbf{Q})$. For every integer $n \geq 2$, Conjecture 10 holds for $L(X_{\{G\}}A_f/F, n)$.*

Proof. Assume $f \in S_2(\Gamma_1(N))$ is a newform of level N . We use Theorem 31 with the subgroup $K = K_1(N)_F$ defined in 3.2, so that $\overline{M}_K = X_1(N)_F$. Let $J_1(N)_F$ be the Jacobian of $X_1(N)_F$. We have an isomorphism $H^1(X_1(N)_F) \cong H^1(J_1(N)_F)$ in $\text{CHM}_{\mathbf{Q}}(\mathbf{T}_{N,F})$ (see for instance [28, Prop 4.5] applied to $X = X_1(N)_F$ and $X' = J_1(N)_F$). Let $e_f \in \mathbf{T}_{N,F}$ be the idempotent from Proposition 29, and let $\mathbf{T}_{f,F} = e_f \mathbf{T}_{N,F} e_f$. By Theorem 30, we have an isomorphism of Chow motives $e_f(H^1(J_1(N)_F)) = H^1(A_f/F)$ in $\text{CHM}_{\mathbf{Q}}(X^{\text{op}}\{G\})$. The result now follows from Proposition 16. \square

Theorem 33. *Let f be a newform of weight 2 without complex multiplication, and let F, F' be finite abelian extensions of \mathbf{Q} such that $F \subset F'$. Let $X = \text{End}_{F'}(B_{f,F}) \otimes \mathbf{Q}$ and $G = \text{Gal}(F'/F)$. For every integer $n \geq 2$, Conjecture 10 holds for $L(X_{\{G\}}B_{f,F}/F', n)$.*

Proof. By definition of $B_{f,F}$, we have an isogeny $A_f \sim_F B_{f,F}^m$ for some $m \geq 1$, and thus an isomorphism of Chow motives $H^1(A_f/F') \cong H^1(B_{f,F}/F')^{\oplus m}$. Let $R = M_m(X\{G\}) \cong M_m(X)\{G\}$. Put $X' = \text{End}_{F'}(A_f) \otimes \mathbf{Q}$ and $G' = \text{Gal}(F'/\mathbf{Q})$, so that we have a canonical embedding $R \hookrightarrow X'\{G'\}$. By Theorem 32 and Proposition 15, Conjecture 10 holds for $L(R^{\text{op}}H^1(B_{f,F}/F')^{\oplus m}, n)$. We conclude by projecting onto $H^1(B_{f,F}/F')$ using Proposition 16. \square

Putting together Theorems 20 and 33, we deduce the following result.

Corollary 34. *Let A be an abelian variety over a number field K such that $L(A/K, s)$ is a product of L -functions of newforms of weight 2 without complex multiplication. Let $X = \text{End}_K(A) \otimes \mathbf{Q}$. Then for every integer $n \geq 2$, Conjecture 10 holds for $L(XA, n)$.*

In the particular case of \mathbf{Q} -curves, this gives the following result.

Corollary 35. *Let E be a \mathbf{Q} -curve without complex multiplication over a number field K such that $L(E/K, s)$ is a product of L -functions of newforms of weight 2. Then for every integer $n \geq 2$, Conjecture 10 holds for $L(E/K, n)$.*

This result has the following consequence on Zagier's conjecture on $L(E, 2)$ (see [4] for how to derive Corollary 36 from Corollary 35).

Corollary 36. *Let E be a \mathbf{Q} -curve without complex multiplication over a number field K such that $L(E/K, s)$ is a product of L -functions of newforms of weight 2. Then the weak form of Zagier's conjecture on $L(E/K, 2)$ holds.*

We also get the following consequence on $L(E, 3)$. Deninger predicted that for an elliptic curve E/\mathbf{Q} , the L -value $L(E, 3)$ can be expressed in terms of certain double Eisenstein-Kronecker series evaluated at algebraic points of E [10]. Goncharov proved this conjecture in [13] by explicitly computing the regulator map on $K_4(E)$ and applying Beilinson's theorem. Deninger's conjecture can be generalized to an elliptic curve over an arbitrary number field. Using Goncharov's techniques, we get the following result.

Corollary 37. *Let E be a \mathbf{Q} -curve without complex multiplication over a number field K such that $L(E/K, s)$ is a product of L -functions of newforms of weight 2. Then the weak form of Deninger's conjecture on $L(E/K, 3)$ holds.*

REFERENCES

- [1] A. A. Beilinson. Higher regulators of modular curves. In *Applications of algebraic K-theory to algebraic geometry and number theory, Part I, II (Boulder, Colo., 1983)*, volume 55 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 1–34. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1986.
- [2] N. Bourbaki. *Éléments de mathématique. Algèbre. Chapitre 8. Modules et anneaux semi-simples*. Springer, Berlin, 2012. Second revised edition of the 1958 edition.
- [3] A. F. Brown and E. P. Gbate. Endomorphism algebras of motives attached to elliptic modular forms. *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)*, 53(6):1615–1676, 2003.

- [4] F. Brunault. On Zagier’s conjecture for base changes of elliptic curves. *Doc. Math.*, 18:395–412, 2013. <http://www.math.uiuc.edu/documenta/vol-18/16.pdf>.
- [5] D. Burns and M. Flach. Tamagawa numbers for motives with (non-commutative) coefficients. *Doc. Math.*, 6:501–570, 2001. <http://www.math.uiuc.edu/documenta/vol-06/21.pdf>.
- [6] P. Cartier. Representations of p -adic groups: a survey. In *Automorphic forms, representations and L-functions (Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Oregon State Univ., Corvallis, Ore., 1977), Part 1*, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., XXXIII, pages 111–155. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1979.
- [7] C. W. Curtis and I. Reiner. *Methods of representation theory. Vol. II*. Pure and Applied Mathematics (New York). John Wiley & Sons Inc., New York, 1987. With applications to finite groups and orders, A Wiley-Interscience Publication.
- [8] C. Deninger. Higher regulators and Hecke L -series of imaginary quadratic fields. I. *Invent. Math.*, 96(1):1–69, 1989.
- [9] C. Deninger. Higher regulators and Hecke L -series of imaginary quadratic fields. II. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 132(1):131–158, 1990.
- [10] C. Deninger. Higher order operations in Deligne cohomology. *Invent. Math.*, 120(2):289–315, 1995.
- [11] C. Deninger and J. Murre. Motivic decomposition of abelian schemes and the Fourier transform. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 422:201–219, 1991.
- [12] E. Ghate, E. González-Jiménez, and J. Quer. On the Brauer class of modular endomorphism algebras. *Int. Math. Res. Not.*, 2005(12):701–723, 2005.
- [13] A. B. Goncharov. Deninger’s conjecture of L -functions of elliptic curves at $s = 3$. *J. Math. Sci.*, 81(3):2631–2656, 1996. Algebraic geometry, 4.
- [14] J. González and J.-C. Lario. \mathbf{Q} -curves and their Manin ideals. *Amer. J. Math.*, 123(3):475–503, 2001.
- [15] X. Guitart and J. Quer. Remarks on strongly modular Jacobian surfaces. *J. Théor. Nombres Bordeaux*, 23(1):171–182, 2011.
- [16] X. Guitart and J. Quer. Modular Abelian Varieties Over Number Fields. *Canad. J. Math.*, 66(1):170–196, 2014.
- [17] U. Jannsen. Deligne homology, Hodge-D-conjecture, and motives. In *Beilinson’s conjectures on special values of L-functions*, volume 4 of *Perspect. Math.*, pages 305–372. Academic Press, Boston, MA, 1988.
- [18] C. Khare. Serre’s conjecture and its consequences. *Jpn. J. Math.*, 5(1):103–125, 2010.
- [19] R. P. Langlands. Modular forms and ℓ -adic representations. In *Modular functions of one variable, II (Proc. Internat. Summer School, Univ. Antwerp, Antwerp, 1972)*, pages 361–500. Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 349. Springer, Berlin, 1973.
- [20] F. Momose. On the ℓ -adic representations attached to modular forms. *J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. IA Math.*, 28(1):89–109, 1981.
- [21] J. P. Murre, J. Nagel, and C. A. M. Peters. *Lectures on the theory of pure motives*, volume 61 of *University Lecture Series*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2013.
- [22] T. Nakamura. A classification of \mathbf{Q} -curves with complex multiplication. *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, 56(2):635–648, 2004.
- [23] I. I. Piatetski-Shapiro. Multiplicity one theorems. In *Automorphic forms, representations and L-functions (Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Oregon State Univ., Corvallis, Ore., 1977), Part 1*, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., XXXIII, pages 209–212. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1979.
- [24] J. Quer. \mathbf{Q} -curves and abelian varieties of GL_2 -type. *Proc. London Math. Soc. (3)*, 81(2):285–317, 2000.
- [25] K. A. Ribet. Twists of modular forms and endomorphisms of abelian varieties. *Math. Ann.*, 253(1):43–62, 1980.
- [26] K. A. Ribet. Abelian varieties over \mathbf{Q} and modular forms. In *Modular curves and abelian varieties*, volume 224 of *Progr. Math.*, pages 241–261. Birkhäuser, Basel, 2004.
- [27] N. Schappacher and A. J. Scholl. Beilinson’s theorem on modular curves. In *Beilinson’s conjectures on special values of L-functions*, volume 4 of *Perspect. Math.*, pages 273–304. Academic Press, Boston, MA, 1988.
- [28] A. J. Scholl. Classical motives. In *Motives (Seattle, WA, 1991)*, volume 55 of *Proc. Sympos. Pure Math.*, pages 163–187. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1994.
- [29] A. J. Scholl. Integral elements in K -theory and products of modular curves. In *The arithmetic and geometry of algebraic cycles (Banff, AB, 1998)*, volume 548 of *NATO Sci. Ser. C Math. Phys. Sci.*, pages 467–489. Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 2000.
- [30] G. Shimura. On the factors of the jacobian variety of a modular function field. *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, 25:523–544, 1973.
- [31] R. G. Swan. *Algebraic K-theory*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, No. 76. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1968.
- [32] J. Wildeshaus. On an elliptic analogue of Zagier’s conjecture. *Duke Math. J.*, 87(2):355–407, 1997.

ÉNS LYON, UNITÉ DE MATHÉMATIQUES PURES ET APPLIQUÉES, 46 ALLÉE D’ITALIE, 69007 LYON, FRANCE
 E-mail address: francois.brunault@ens-lyon.fr
 URL: <http://perso.ens-lyon.fr/francois.brunault>